MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 KB HOME vs. A & D PLUS CONSTRUCTION

	Page 93	,	ADDEADANGES.	Page 98
1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 12TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA	1 2	APPEARANCES: On behalf of the Plaintiffs:	
2	CASE NO. 2013-CA-002679	4	MATTHEW COGBURN, ESQUIRE	
3		3	Carlton Fields Jorden Burt, P.A.	
	KB HOME TAMPA LLC,		Corporate Center Three of International	Plaza
4	KB HOME ORLANDO LLC, and	4	Suite 1000	
5	KB HOME FORT MYERS LLC,	_	4221 West Boy Scout Boulevard	
,	Plaintiffs,	5	Tampa, Florida 33607-5736	
6	vs.	6	(813) 223-7000	
7	A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, INC.,	7	mcogburn@cfjblaw.com On behalf of Defendants	
	SMC SYSTEMS, INC. d/b/a Skye Tec,	′	A&D Plus Construction Services, Inc.	
8	ARCHER EXTERIORS, INC., ARTISTIC ALUMINUM, INC.,	8	and 3G Air Conditioning & Heating, Inc.	
9	ATRIUM FLORIDA, INC. d/b/a ATRIUM WINDOWS & DOORS, INC., AVALON FLOORING, LLC, BRANCO LATH AND		d/b/a Easy A/C:	
	STUCCO, INC., BUILDERS FIRSTSOURCE - FLORIDA, LLC,	9	JASON A. LUBLINER, ESQUIRE	
0	CASMORE ENTERPRISES, INC., DJ KISHMAN ENTERPRISES,	1.0	Meirose & Associates	
1	INC., 3G AIR CONDITIONING & HEATING, INC. d/b/a	10	500 North Westshore Boulevard Suite 450	
.1	EASY A/C, FOX PROFESSIONAL COATING, INC., GALLO BUILDING SERVICE, INC., H&H STUCCO & STONE,	11	Tampa, Florida 33609	
2	INC., H.F.S. TAMPA, INC., HAMWAY FLOORING, INC.,		(813) 289-8800	
	J&E SPECIALTIES, INC., JUAN'S PLASTERING, INC.,	12	jlubliner@meiroselaw.com	
.3	DIVISION 15-HVAC, INC. d/b/a JUST RIGHT AIR	13	On behalf of Defendant	
4	CONDITIONING, KENNETH TAYLOR SERVICES, INC.,		Archer Exteriors, Inc.:	
.4	MILLARD ROOFING, INC., PRO-BUILD EAST, LLC, STINKERBUG, INC. d/b/a PROGRESSIVE PAINTING	14	HONEY KALKINS, ESQUIRE	
.5	CONTRACTORS, INC., RELIABLE ROOFING AND GUTTERS,	15	Buckley Law Group, P.A. 150 Second Avenue North	
-	INC., S.E. ALUMINUM, INC., S.W. SPECIALTY SERVICES	- 7	Suite 1200	
6	OF SOUTHWEST FLORIDA, INC., SOUTHEAST FRAMING,	16	St. Petersburg, Florida 33701	
7	INC., TOTAL FIBERGLASS SERVICES, INC. TRI CITY		(727) 822-4800	
.7	INSTALLATIONS, LLC, TRIAD BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC., TURLINGTON ENTERPRISES, INC. d/b/a TURLINGTON	17	hkalkins@buckleylawgroup.com	
8	CUSTOM STUCCO & PLASTERING, UNIVERSAL FOREST	18	On behalf of Defendant	
	PRODUCTS EASTERN DIVISION, INC. n/k/a UFP EASTERN	19	Branco Lath & Stucco, Inc.:	
9	DIVISION, INC., 31-W INSULATION CO. INC., UNITED	1 2 2	JENNIFER L. MENNITI, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.)	
0	SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. d/b/a NCR/WEST COAST	20	Metz Law Firm, P.A.	
0	INSULATION, WATERS EDGE CONTRACTING OF FLORIDA, INC., WEST COAST PROPERTY CONSULTANTS, INC.,		15930 U.S. Highway 441	
1	SILCOX KIDWELL & ASSOCIATES, PAUL KIDWELL, and	21	Suite B	
	HURRI-BOLT, INC.,		Eustis, Florida 32726	
2	Defendants.	22	(352) 483-3900 jennifer@metzlawyer.com	
3	/	23	Jemmirer Guiceziawyer.com	
24		24		
25		25		
	Page 94			Page 9
1	ARCHER EXTERIORS, INC.,	1	On behalf of Defendant	
2				
	Third-Party Plaintiff,		Branco Lath & Stucco, Inc.:	
3	VS.	2	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE	
3 4	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC.,	2	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A.	
4	VS.	3	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200	
	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC.,		BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602	
4 5	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC.,	3 4	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776	
4	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC.,	3	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602	
4 5 6	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants.	3 4 5 6	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC:	
4 5 6 7 8	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC.,	3 4 5	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE	
4 5 6 7 8	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, VS.	3 4 5 6	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP	
4 5 6 7 8	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF	3 4 5 6	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300	
4 5 6 7 8 9	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.,	3 4 5 6	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602	
4 5 6 7 8 9	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC,	3 4 5 6 7 8	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900	
4 5 6 7 8 9	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.,	3 4 5 6 7 8	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, Vs.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.)	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, Vs. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, Vs. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC.,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, Vs. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, Vs. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC.,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, Vs. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, Vs. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC.,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, VS. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.:	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, Vs. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, VS. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232) Thursday, October 22, 2015	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE Price, Hamilton & Price, Chartered	
4 5 678 9 0 1 2 3 45 6 7 8 9	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, Vs. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232) Thursday, October 22, 2015 1:21 p.m 4:19 p.m.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE Price, Hamilton & Price, Chartered 2400 Manatee Avenue West Bradenton, Florida 34205	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, VS. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232) Thursday, October 22, 2015 1:21 p.m 4:19 p.m. Gulf Coast Executive Business Center	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE Price, Hamilton & Price, Chartered 2400 Manatee Avenue West Bradenton, Florida 34205 (941) 748-0550	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9 .9	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, VS. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232) Thursday, October 22, 2015 1:21 p.m 4:19 p.m. Gulf Coast Executive Business Center Merrill Lynch Building	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE Price, Hamilton & Price, Chartered 2400 Manatee Avenue West Bradenton, Florida 34205 (941) 748-0550 andrew@phpchtd.com	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 7 8 9 0 7 8 9 0 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 7 8 7	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, VS. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232) Thursday, October 22, 2015 1:21 p.m 4:19 p.m. Gulf Coast Executive Business Center Merrill Lynch Building 871 Venetia Bay Boulevard	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE Price, Hamilton & Price, Chartered 2400 Manatee Avenue West Bradenton, Florida 34205 (941) 748-0550 andrew@phpchtd.com On behalf of Defendant Hurri-Bolt, Inc.:	
4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .0 .1 .1 .2 .3	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, Vs. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, Vs. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232) Thursday, October 22, 2015 1:21 p.m 4:19 p.m. Gulf Coast Executive Business Center Merrill Lynch Building 871 Venetia Bay Boulevard Suite 230	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE Price, Hamilton & Price, Chartered 2400 Manatee Avenue West Bradenton, Florida 34205 (941) 748-0550 andrew@phpchtd.com	
4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .0 .1 .1 .2 .3	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, VS. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232) Thursday, October 22, 2015 1:21 p.m 4:19 p.m. Gulf Coast Executive Business Center Merrill Lynch Building 871 Venetia Bay Boulevard	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE Price, Hamilton & Price, Chartered 2400 Manatee Avenue West Bradenton, Florida 34205 (941) 748-0550 andrew@phpchtd.com On behalf of Defendant Hurri-Bolt, Inc.: MICHAEL WOODARD, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Rissman, Barrett, Hurt,	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, VS. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232) Thursday, October 22, 2015 1:21 p.m 4:19 p.m. Gulf Coast Executive Business Center Merrill Lynch Building 871 Venetia Bay Boulevard Suite 230 Venice, Florida 34292	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE Price, Hamilton & Price, Chartered 2400 Manatee Avenue West Bradenton, Florida 34205 (941) 748-0550 andrew@phpchtd.com On behalf of Defendant Hurri-Bolt, Inc.: MICHAEL WOODARD, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Rissman, Barrett, Hurt, Donahue & McLain, P.A.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, VS. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232) Thursday, October 22, 2015 1:21 p.m 4:19 p.m. Gulf Coast Executive Business Center Merrill Lynch Building 871 Venetia Bay Boulevard Suite 230 Venice, Florida 34292	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE Price, Hamilton & Price, Chartered 2400 Manatee Avenue West Bradenton, Florida 34205 (941) 748-0550 andrew@phpchtd.com On behalf of Defendant Hurri-Bolt, Inc.: MICHAEL WOODARD, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Rissman, Barrett, Hurt, Donahue & McLain, P.A. 201 East Pine Street	
4 5 67 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, VS. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232) Thursday, October 22, 2015 1:21 p.m 4:19 p.m. Gulf Coast Executive Business Center Merrill Lynch Building 871 Venetia Bay Boulevard Suite 230 Venice, Florida 34292 REPORTED BY: LAURA M. SEMIK, RPR Esquire Deposition Solutions - Tampa, Florida	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE Price, Hamilton & Price, Chartered 2400 Manatee Avenue West Bradenton, Florida 34205 (941) 748-0550 andrew@phpchtd.com On behalf of Defendant Hurri-Bolt, Inc.: MICHAEL WOODARD, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Rissman, Barrett, Hurt, Donahue & McLain, P.A.	
4 5 6 7 8	VS. LUX EXTERIOR, INC., JT CONSTRUCTION, INC., and JCSI CERTIFIED ROOFING CONTRACTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendants. GALLO BUILDING SERVICES, INC., Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. RJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., JS CONTRACTOR OF TAMPA, INC., GCJ CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC., WEST CENTRAL FLORIDA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, RAY CONTRACTING, INC., DALE HAYES MASONRY, INC., Third-Party Defendants, VS. A&D PLUS CONSTRUCTION, INC. and BRANCO LATH & STUCCO, INC., Cross-Claimants. DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 (Pages 93 - 232) Thursday, October 22, 2015 1:21 p.m 4:19 p.m. Gulf Coast Executive Business Center Merrill Lynch Building 871 Venetia Bay Boulevard Suite 230 Venice, Florida 34292 REPORTED BY: LAURA M. SEMIK, RPR	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BRYAN KRASINSKI, ESQUIRE Kubicki Draper, P.A. 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 204-9776 bmk@kubickidraper.com On behalf of Defendant Builders FirstSource-Florida LLC: CHARLES E. REYNOLDS, II, ESQUIRE Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 2300 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 281-1900 creynolds@butler.legal On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Dale Hayes Masonry, Inc.: RANDALL J. LOVE, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Randall J. Love, P.A. 7236 State Road 52 Suite 13 Bayonet Point, Florida 34667 (727) 857-6030 mmjlove@aol.com On behalf of Defendant Gallo Building Services, Inc.: ANDREW T. MARSHALL, ESQUIRE Price, Hamilton & Price, Chartered 2400 Manatee Avenue West Bradenton, Florida 34205 (941) 748-0550 andrew@phpchtd.com On behalf of Defendant Hurri-Bolt, Inc.: MICHAEL WOODARD, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Rissman, Barrett, Hurt, Donahue & McLain, P.A. 201 East Pine Street Suite 1500	



MATTHEW BROWN VOLUME 2 KB HOME vs. A & D PLUS CONSTRUCTION

	HOME VS. A & D PLUS CONSTRU				
		Page 97			Page
1	On behalf of Defendants J&E Specialties, Inc.		1	INDEX	Ū
2	and GCJ Construction Group, Inc.:				PAGE
2	BRIAN A. OLTCHICK, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.)				17100
3	Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP		2		
	400 North Ashley Drive		3	Cross-Examination by Mr. Marshall	101
Į	Suite 2300				
	Tampa, Florida 33602		4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ford	163
	(813) 281-1900		5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Reynolds	211
	boltchick@butler.legal			Closs Examination by Mr. Reynolds	211
	On behalf of Defendant		6	Certificate of Reporter	228
	Lux Exterior, Inc.:		7	Certificate of Oath	229
	JAMES J. CANNON, ESQUIRE		,		227
	(Appeared via telephone .)		8	Deposition Errata Sheet	230
	Conroy, Simberg, Ganon, Krevans, Abel, Lurvey, Morrow & Schefer, P.A.		9	Deposition Errata Sheet	231
	201 East Kennedy Boulevard				231
	Suite 900		10	Deposition Errata Sheet	232
	Tampa, Florida 33602		11		
	(813) 273-6464				
	jcannon@conroysimberg.com		12		
	On behalf of Defendant		13		
	Millard Roofing, Inc.:				
	JOSEPH A. KOPACZ, ESQUIRE		14		
	Luks, Santaniello, Petrillo & Jones		15		
	100 North Tampa Street				
	Suite 2120		16		
	Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 226-0081		17		
	jkopacz@ls-law.com				
	2 -7		18		
	On behalf of Defendant		19		
	Pro-Build East, LLC:				
	NESTER MARANTE, ESQUIRE		20		
	(Appeared via telephone.) Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker, LLP		21		
	222 Lakeview Avenue				
	Suite 810		22		
	West Palm Beach, Florida 33401		23		
	(561) 515-4000				
	nester.marante@wilsonelser.com		24		
			25		
			23		
		D 00			<u> </u>
	0 1 1 16 6 5 6 1	Page 98	-		Page 1
	On behalf of Defendant		1	EXHIBITS	
	Reliable Roofing & Gutters, Inc.:		2		
	RINALDO J. CARTAYA, III, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.)			NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
	Quintairos, Prieto, Wood & Boyer, P.A.			NO. DESCRIPTION	FAGE
	255 South Orange Avenue		3		
	Suite 900			285 Sworn Statement of Matthew Brown	
	Orlando, Florida 32801			200 DWOLLI DEACCHICHE OF MACCHEW DIOWII	
	(407) 872-6011		4	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	(407) 872-6011 rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com			taken on 10/22/13	. 164
			5	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant			taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.:		5 6	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE		5 6 7	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.)		5 6	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo		5 6 7	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company		5 6 7 8 9	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street		5 6 7 8	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510		5 6 7 8 9	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801		5 6 7 8 9 10	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090		5 6 7 8 9	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801		5 6 7 8 9 10	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj2l@nationwide.com		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant		5 6 7 8 9 10 11	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division,		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.:		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj2l@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A. Bank of America Plaza		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A. Bank of America Plaza 101 East Kennedy Boulevard		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A. Bank of America Plaza 101 East Kennedy Boulevard Suite 3700		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj2l@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A. Bank of America Plaza 101 East Kennedy Boulevard Suite 3700 Tampa, Florida 33602		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A. Bank of America Plaza 101 East Kennedy Boulevard Suite 3700 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 221-3900		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A. Bank of America Plaza 101 East Kennedy Boulevard Suite 3700 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 221-3900		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A. Bank of America Plaza 101 East Kennedy Boulevard Suite 3700 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 221-3900		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A. Bank of America Plaza 101 East Kennedy Boulevard Suite 3700 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 221-3900		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A. Bank of America Plaza 101 East Kennedy Boulevard Suite 3700 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 221-3900		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	taken on 10/22/13	. 164
	rinaldo.cartaya@qpwblaw.com On behalf of Defendant Southeast Framing, Inc.: J. ANDREW WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE (Appeared via telephone.) Law Offices of Patricia E. Garagozlo Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company 200 East Robinson Street Suite 510 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 393-9090 willj21@nationwide.com On behalf of Defendant Universal Forest Products Eastern Division, Inc. n/k/a UFP Eastern Division, Inc.: TIMOTHY C. FORD, ESQUIRE Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A. Bank of America Plaza 101 East Kennedy Boulevard Suite 3700 Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 221-3900		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	taken on 10/22/13	. 164



Page 104

Page 101

Deposition taken before Laura M. Semik,

Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public

in and for the State of Florida at Large, in the

above cause.

5 * * * *

7 WHEREUPON:

6

8

MATTHEW BROWN,

9 having been previously sworn, was examined and10 testified as follows:

11 CROSS-EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. MARSHALL:

13 Q Mr. Brown, my name is Andrew Marshall14 with Gallo Building Services. Are you familiar15 with Gallo?

16 A Yes.

17 Q What is your familiarity with Gallo

18 Building Services?

19 A Gallo has done work for me in the past,
20 shell contracting work. There was a few months
21 after my tenure at KB that I did some Chinese
22 drywall work for Gallo.

23 Q And by "Chinese drywall work," what do 24 you mean?

25 A Gallo was contracted to do some Chinese

1 issues prior to closing?

3

4

5

6

2 A Some yes, some no.

Q On the some no, is that when you would contract with another subcontractor to come out and remediate or correct the deficiencies prior to closing?

7 A It was really not something that was to 8 be corrected before closing. It was more of the 9 overall application in general. I did meet with 10 Henry Glime, the principal of Gallo, on-site to 11 discuss some of those concerns.

In my daily role as a project manager, I
did point out to the on-site foreman and his
superior some of the concerns that I had with them
not following ASTM standards and the method in
which they applied the stucco. The times that I
did things were corrected but, you know, a lot of
it's concealed after the fact and I wasn't present
the entire time they were installing wire lath or
stucco.

21 Q Okay. Not applying stucco in accordance 22 with the ASTM standards, what standards did they 23 not comply with?

A General ASTM standards regarding the application of wire lath, regarding the fasteners,

Page 102

1 drywall remediation for Taylor Morrison in Bonita2 Springs, so I did some of that work for them.

3 Q Now, did you work with Gallo Building 4 Services prior to your involvement at Willowbrook?

5 A No.

Q And did you first become involved with
Gallo Building Services as you transitioned to
project manager at Willowbrook or as the regional
construction manager?

10 A As a project manager.

11 Q So as a project manager, you actually

12 knew the scope of work for Gallo; is that correct?

A Yes.

13

19

14 Q Are you familiar with any issues
15 regarding Gallo's work with regard to the
16 application of stucco on any of the buildings that
17 you were project manager of?

18 A Yes.

Q And what were those issues?

20 A Numerous issues: Gallo not supplying 21 stucco per ASTM standards, per building code, and

22 general good building practice.

23 Q Did you point those out to Gallo?

24 A Yes, I did.

25 Q And did they remediate or correct those

1 regarding the laps.

15 it.

2 One point of contention I had with Gallo, 3 specifically the stucco end of Gallo, I had instructed both Henry and Kris Perry and the on-site foreman, as well as the stucco workers 5 themselves, to pay close attention when installing the stucco stops and plaster stop banding material 7 to not penetrate the flashings that were installed 8 by the roofer. Many of the stucco stops are 10 applied over the flashing. I instructed them to 11 use foam to adhere the stucco stop through the 12 flashing as opposed to nailing directly through 13 the flashing, which, obviously, if you're sealing 14 something up you don't want to poke holes through

When I did point that out to them, it was immediately corrected in the field. As I said earlier, I can tell somebody this is not what you should be doing, this is what you should be doing, but then I'm working with the roofer on sealing the next deck or with the homeowner or something else so I'm not actually there to see every piece they put up. Once it's put up and stuccoed over, I can't tell what was done. I have to assume they did adhere to my request.



Page 108

Page 105

Some other ASTM issues --

- 2 Q Let me stop you there and talk about 3 those issues.
- 4 A Sure.
- 5 Q After they corrected the issues that you 6 pointed out, you mentioned that you're not sure if 7 they adhered to what you told them to do in 8 accordance with ASTM. On those buildings you
- 9 never went back and did any inspection to ensure 10 that they did or did not follow the ASTM as far as

11 the lapping issue; right?

- 12 A Yes and no. If I found that the laps 13 weren't correct and I told them to correct the 14 laps, they did. There's times when they're
- 15 working on the laps being corrected, I don't have
- 16 time to reinspect it, I'm taking them as a
- 17 licensed contractor on their word, especially
- 18 after speaking to the principal and supervisor of
- 19 the company, that it will be corrected. I saw
- 20 some of it was corrected, but then after the fact
- 21 there's stucco applied to it. At that point the
- 22 only way I would have to check it would be to go
- 23 in and rip out the work that was done.
- 24 Q Right. I guess that's my question. You 25 never ripped out any of the stucco on a building

1 you were referencing?

2 A After putting up thousands of homes since

3 then, it's hard for me to recall exactly which

building was which. I can tell you that the best

that I can remember is Gallo was tasked with the

6 stucco again on that Black Walnut Way. That was 7 really where I was the project manager. I don't

remember specifically which buildings they were.

9 I believe most of the buildings in that area.

10 Q Did you notice the deficiencies as part 11 of a stage complete inspection?

12 A Yes and no. No, it didn't really get to 13 the point where it was a stage complete 14 inspection, it was more so in process.

15 Q Again, I don't mean to put words in your 16 mouth, but would you do a daily inspection of 17 every building?

A Absolutely. 18

19 Q Okay. And what did the daily inspections 20 include?

21 A Depending on where the stage of 22 construction was every home, every room, every 23 day. You know, at the stage where Gallo was doing 24 the stucco there wasn't a lot to inspect on the

25 interior other than the framing, which is

Page 106

1 that you hadn't inspected and noticed an actual 2 deficiency; correct?

3 A No. I took Henry and Kris on their word 4 that it was taken care of.

5 Q Did you have to go back multiple times to 6 correct an issue? You said after you pointed it 7 out on certain buildings, they went and corrected 8 the issue. Did that happen at one building or 9 multiple buildings in succession?

A Multiple buildings.

10

18

11 Q Did you have more than one sit-down 12 discussion with Henry Glime and his staff?

13 A Multiple in person, multiple over the 14 phone, multiple via e-mail.

15 Q Can you guess as to how many 16 conversations you had with Gallo personnel as far 17 as not meeting ASTM standards?

A At least a dozen.

19 Q And now this is just for the buildings 20 that you were the project manager for; is that 21 correct?

22 A Yes. That was the only time that Gallo 23 actually worked for me in that area, so yes.

24 Q Can you look at the diagram that was 25 provided earlier and tell me what building numbers

1 something that gets looked at daily.

2 On the outside at that point it's more of 3 an in-progress inspection. It's, you know,

watching them as they're applying the wire lath and stopping them and saying, hey, this isn't 5

lath, there's exposed wood, or you don't have

7 enough staples or nails, whatever the case is. 8 Q Did you feel adequately trained to point out deficiencies in the stucco or the application

of stucco in accordance with ASTM? 10

A Yes.

11

15

17

12 Q As a general contractor or as a

13 superintendent for KB based on their training? If you can differentiate; if you can't, let me know.

A Through my experience in the construction 16 industry, through my experience as a general contractor.

18 Keep in mind a lot of the training that 19 was given at KB Home was conducted by me. I'm the 20 one who trained a lot of the superintendents and ran construction training courses, so I would feel 21 22 that yes, I was qualified to do so.

23 Q Okay. Now, you ran the training program 24 for a lot of the superintendents in Florida, or 25 what was your region?



Page 109

A In the division.

Q Was that in your position as a regional

3 construction manager?

4 A Yes.

2

5 Q What type of training did you provide the

6 superintendents?

7 A Well, we had weekly meetings. We

discussed -- we had safety training. We had 8

9 training in the building process, training in

10 dealing with the homeowners, specific training on

11 different stages of construction. One week we did

12 slab, one week we did block, one week we did

13 framing, all the way through completion of the

14 home. Some of them were done in an office

15 setting. Many of them were done in the field as

16 it applied to the tasks we were discussing and

17 being trained on.

18 Q How did you train individual

19 superintendents as to inspecting a subcontractor's

20 work in regards to stucco application?

21 A We discussed what finished product should

22 look like. We discussed what the job should look

23 like prior to the stucco, especially in an area

24 like Willowbrook, you know, the Tyvek is important

25 prior to the wire lath and stucco application. We

MS. MENNITI: Sorry for interrupting. 1

2 This is Jennifer Menniti, for the record. All

of those on the phone, can we make sure we're

on mute, because I hear somebody making loud

5 noises.

6 MR. MARSHALL: Can you read back where we

7 were?

8 (The court reporter read the record as

9 follows: Were they ever provided the ASTM?

10 Answer: In our training --)

11 THE WITNESS: Whenever I conducted

12 training with the superintendents and other

13 staff members, there were always handouts that

14 were provided and that was part of the training

15 as to be reviewed. ASTM standards were one of

16 the things that were consistently handed out.

17 If we were doing a class on roofing, we handed

18 out ASTM standards on roofing, for block we

19 handed it out for block, obviously for stucco

20 for stucco and so forth.

21 We went over what the ASTM standards are.

22 Some of that was discussed with Henry and Kris.

23 Although, Henry and Kris were aware of what the

24 ASTM standards are. Adhering to ASTM standards

25 is a standard clause for any builder I've ever

Page 110

1 discussed means and methods that they'd use to

2 fasten the wire lath, what they needed to look for

3 down to, you know, one bag of stucco per 40 shovel

4 loads to make the mix right. Too much sand is not

5 good and not enough sand is equally not as good,

6 so we definitely got into the specificity of what

7 is required in stucco application. Part of that

8 also was the thickness that the stucco was 9 applied, which was one of the primary concerns

10 that I remember had, which I expressed to both

11 Mr. Perry and Mr. Glime.

12 Also the duration of time that it took to 13 apply the stucco in accordance with ASTM

14 standards. Stucco is not a cementitious coating,

15 it is a stucco application and it should be

16 applied by scratching, browning and texturing, not

17 just a one-day application process.

These are some of the things that I

19 discussed with the superintendents, project

20 managers, and customer service representatives so

21 they would be prepared in their job duties. It's 22 also something that I discussed directly with

23 Henry and Kris.

18

Q Were they ever provided the ASTM? 24

A In our training --25

Page 112

worked for in the scope of work and contract 1

2 with the trade partner that's doing whatever

3 work on that building.

4 BY MR. MARSHALL:

5 Q Okay. Now, you mentioned timing. I

assume the scratch coat takes a certain amount of

7 time. It has to cure --

Is that correct?

9 A Correct.

8

15

10 Q -- and then you have the brown coat and

11 then the finish coat?

12 Those timing issues or scheduling of

13 trades, that was KB's responsibility, correct, as

a superintendent?

A No.

16 Q Okay. So timing of various trades -- and

again, I don't mean to confuse you here, but I'm 17

not saying the timing of the start of stucco

application and then each subsequent coat -- like 19

20 the stucco trade is scheduled after let's say the

Tyvek, those timing and scheduling issues are KB's 21

22 responsibility; is that correct?

23 A Absolutely.

24 Q Do you ever recall a time where KB was

25 maybe pushing for a closing and stucco had to be



Page 113

1 applied in a one-coat application?

2 A No.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

3 Q Is that something you believe KB would ever do, push for a closing if it was not going to meet an ASTM standard?

MR. COGBURN: Form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what KB Home as a corporation would do. I can tell you that with KB and every builder I ever worked for there were times when closings are pushed or the work is expedited so that closings can be made, but cutting corners and not doing things the right way is not something that myself nor any of the associates that worked for me or I worked with instructed anyone from Gallo to do, or any other company for that matter.

18 BY MR. MARSHALL:

Q Okay. And that's based on?

20 My personal experience.

21 Q Okay. You didn't actually speak with

22 Danny Vinson after the fact, after you left that

23 maybe this occurred or didn't occur; is that

24 correct? Just based on your knowledge of the way

25 they were, the people they were, that's your

1 you that I had many conversations with both Kris

2 and Henry regarding the quality of Gallo's framing

work. They both met me on the job site and walked

4 through things. I was not happy with their

framing nor was Manatee County. They historically

6 consistently failed inspections with regard to

sheathing and framing. 7

Q Do you recall why they failed the 8

9 inspections? 10 A Not with detailed specificity as to what

11 failed on what building, but as an overall

12 statement that their work was not in accordance

13 with building code nor was it per plan. There

14 were things that were left out. There were things

15 that weren't completed or that were done

16 erroneously and not following what the EOR

17 recommended, which caused us to fail inspection

18 and have to make connections.

Q Just so I'm clear, your testimony is that 20 after the superintendents made their inspections 21 you brought a Manatee County inspector out and

22 they subsequently failed the framing inspections

23 on buildings that you worked on as project

24 manager?

19

6

25 A Yes.

Page 114

1 sense?

2 A I know that Danny did not instruct anyone 3 to do that while the two of us were out there

4 together. What happened with Danny -- or anyone

5 else for that matter -- after I left, I would be

6 speculating if I told you what conversations -- I

7 wasn't involved in any of those conversations so I

8 really have no idea.

9 Q And so I assume that another issue that 10 you had with Gallo's work with regard to the 11 application of stucco is the thickness of the 12 stucco?

A Yes. 13

14

18

19

Q A one-coat or three-coat system?

15

Q Any other issues with ASTM standards and 16 17 the application of stucco?

A Just the ones I mentioned.

Q Are you familiar with any issues with

20 Gallo's work with regard to framing?

21 A I wouldn't say that Gallo was a superb 22 framer. I can tell you I don't think -- I have no

23 knowledge of any framing issues that are directly

24 related to water intrusion issues or deck issues,

25 which is what we're here discussing. I can tell

Page 116 Q Since you trained the superintendents on 2 how to inspect the work of the subsequent trades

or various trades, did you train the

superintendents to actually carry with them plans 4

and specs as they did their inspections? 5

A Well, depending on what you're

7 inspecting. Some things you don't need plans for. I mean, when you're inspecting what the roofer

8 9 applied to the deck you don't need the print

10 because it doesn't specify on the print what

you're looking for, that's building knowledge and 11 12 good practice.

13 In regard to the framing, that would be a specific instance where, yes, you needed to open up the blueprint and ensure what strap was being 15

used where and did this meet this uplift and 16 17 things of that nature.

18 Q So you're familiar with the transition 19 from the Hurri-Bolt system to hurricane strapping; 20 correct?

21 A That was started prior to my departure 22 from KB, but while I was there the Hurri-Bolt 23 system is what was used.

24 Q Okay. So on the buildings that you were 25 the project manager on, was that a Hurri-Bolt



Page 120

Page 117

1 system being used or hurricane straps, if you2 recall?

- 3 A Hurri-Bolt, I believe.
- 4 Q So you would have taken plans out and
- 5 inspected for Hurri-Bolt systems?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q And to ensure that the wall panels were
- 8 installed correctly in accordance to plans and
- 9 specifications?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q Is that part your job duty as a
- 12 superintendent, to ensure that the framing was
- 13 done according to the plans and specs?
- 14 MR. COGBURN: Form.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Ensuring everything is done
- 16 per plans and specs is the role of the project
- 17 manager or superintendent.
- 18 BY MR. MARSHALL:
- 19 Q So you're not just looking for glaring
- 20 deficiencies then? Anyone would notice a hole in
- 21 the wall, but you're supposed to determine whether
- 22 a sub performed its work in accordance with the
- 23 plans and specs?
- 24 MR. COGBURN: Form.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- Page 118
- 1 BY MR. MARSHALL:
- 2 Q In fact, you trained superintendents to
- 3 that effect?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Was Danny Vinson one of your trainees?
- 6 A No
- 7 Q Do you know who trained Danny Vinson?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Was he part of any of the training that
- 10 you provided at all?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Do you know if he provided training for
- 13 KB?
- 14 A I don't know.
- 15 Q Are you privy to how KB selected
- 16 subcontractors at the Willowbrook project?
- 17 MR. COGBURN: Form.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I'm familiar with the
- 19 process and who made the selections. I don't
- 20 know exactly how the sausage was made, no.
- 21 BY MR. MARSHALL:
- 22 Q What's your understanding of the process?
- 23 A The process is that someone from the
- 24 purchasing department under the direction of
- 25 Mr. Burlingame requests bids from various

- 1 subcontractors, whatever it be, roofing, stucco,
- 2 framing, whatever the case is. It's the
- 3 subcontractor's responsibility to use due
- 4 diligence in overseeing the print, visiting the
- 5 job site, knowing what needs to be done, reviewing
- 6 the scope of work that KB provides.
- 7 They sign a Schedule B -- before they
- 8 sign that they have discussions with Chad
- 9 regarding pricing. And when terms are met, Chad
- 10 makes a selection on who
- 11 that -- which vendor he's going to use. They sign
- 12 the Schedule B, the scopes of work, and that's how
- 13 we guys in the field get our framer, plumber,
- 14 electrician, so forth.
- 15 Q You have no input whatsoever in the
- 16 selection of subs?
- 17 A There were times we were asked an opinion
- 18 on what we thought of this person or what we
- 19 thought of that person, and whether our opinions
- 20 were solicited or not we frequently gave our
- 21 opinion on vendors, but ultimately the decision
- 22 was made by Mr. Burlingame.
- 23 Q Okay. Did you give your opinion on Gallo
- 24 at the time of selection?
- 25 A Not at the time of selection. Frequently
- 1 thereafter.
 - Q I assume that was to keep them on and pay
 - 3 them more, is that the case?
 - 4 A No.
 - 5 Q Now, prior to Mr. Burlingame coming on,
 - 6 did the selection process differ?
 - 7 A No, the process was the same. The man
 - 8 who made the final decision was different, but the
 - 9 process was still the same.
 - 10 Q Okay. Does Mr. Burlingame have any
 - 11 construction background or history?
 - 12 A No.

- 13 Q Okay. So the person making the final
- 14 determination of materials on the project had no
- 15 construction background?
 - A Not to my knowledge.
- 17 Q In fact, several times he ignored your
- 18 recommendations for project fixes even though you
- 19 were a certified general contractor?
- 20 MR. COGBURN: Form.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 22 BY MR. MARSHALL:
- 23 Q Are you familiar with how KB maintained
- 24 or strengthened relationships with its
- 25 subcontractors?

Page 121

A Yes.

1

4

16

2 Q And how was that?

3 A KB put a lot of emphasis and focus on 4 working with vendors as trade partners rather than 5 subcontractors. A lot of emphasis was put on 6 fostering a relationship that would become a 7 long-term relationship where as KB would grow 8 obviously the subcontractor doing a good job would 9 have the opportunity to grow as well, you know,

10 kind of we're-all-in-this-together approach. A big emphasis was put on reducing costs 11

12 to the subcontractor, but not in regard to using 13 cheaper material. I can see your smile. It was 14 more so to ensure that the roofer wasn't sending a 15 10-man crew out to a job that hadn't passed 16 inspection yet and now his guys have to leave, or 17 I didn't have Gallo come out with a crew to stucco 18 and the walls aren't done yet, because that costs

19 the subcontractor money. 20 So if we can run a tight job site as 21 superintendents and project managers, that would 22 allow the subcontractors to operate more 23 efficiently creating a cost savings to them. At 24 the end of the day this is business and this isn't

1 shell contractor, yes.

12

24

Q If you know, did KB have a prohibition 2 3 against subcontractors subbing out the work it was awarded to a second-tier sub?

5 A I don't know of a specific prohibition 6 that did not allow that. I know that that was 7 frowned upon and it was not something that was

encouraged. It's part of the nature of the business, though, every place I've ever been.

10 Unfortunately, people will have a sub of a sub of 11 a sub of a sub.

Q Did KB have any type of policy that if it 13 saw a second-tier sub on-site that it would 14 attempt to postualize it and bring it on as a 15 first-tier sub?

A Not to my knowledge. To be honest with 16 17 you, the guys in the field -- being a project 18 manager in the field I don't know if Henry's 19 subbing out the work to a separate stucco company 20 who's then subbing the work to a crew. I don't 21 see everyone's paperwork and insurance. I just 22 tell them to be here on Tuesday and make sure they 23 do what they're supposed to.

Q If you saw a van and stucco applicators 25 come out in a different shirt with a subcontractor

Page 122

1 paycheck -- and that would foster a good 2 relationship between us and the trade partners,

25 philanthropic work -- we all come here to get a

3 which hopefully would be a long-term relationship.

Q You said you worked with Gallo Building 5 Services with regard to the application of stucco 6 when you were the project manager. Did you see 7 anyone applying stucco from a different sub on 8 those homes?

9 A Not as the project manager, I don't 10 believe so. As the regional construction manager, 11 yes.

12 Q As the regional construction manager --13 and again, if my timeline is off, let me know.

14 You were the regional construction manager prior 15 to your time as project manager?

A Correct.

Q Did you know of a second-tier sub as far 17 18 as the application of stucco that Gallo had, as a 19 regional construction manager?

20 A When I was the regional construction 21 manager Gallo was not working in that community.

22 Q Okay. So you had prior knowledge of 23 Gallo prior to Willowbrook?

24 A I never worked with them before. I mean, 25 I saw their trucks on the road, I knew they were a Page 124

1 on it, is that something that would alert you to 2 the fact that there's a second-tier sub there?

3 A Not necessarily. If a 15-man crew rolled 4 out of a van and they were all wearing the same 5 T-shirt and it was not a Gallo T-shirt, that would 6 raise a red flag, but I think I have a Gallo 7 shirt, but that doesn't mean I work for Gallo and 8 I still have some KB shirts, but I don't work for 9 them either.

10 Q But you did work for Gallo and you did 11 work for KB.

12 A I did.

13

15

Did KB ever come to you and ask your 14 opinion on the hiring of Branco Stucco & Lath?

Not that I recall.

16 Q As superintendent if you were to notice a 17 deficiency in the work of a trade, what was the protocol to remedy the situation? Was there one 18 19 in place?

20 A Well, yes, there was one in place. One 21 is to first and foremost stop the -- if you're 22 witnessing someone installing something 23 incorrectly, you stop them from what they're doing

24 and instruct them how to do it correctly, notify

25 their supervisor, their foreman, or the principal



1 of the company, whatever it may be.

Consistent deficiencies require a little 2

3 bit more attention and those would be one of the

4 cases where we would send out an e-mail to the

5 principal of the company, or, as I did, I made a

6 phone call to Henry and asked them to meet me out

7 on-site so we can, you know -- I think actually I

8 didn't call Henry. I think Henry called me after

9 seeing all my e-mails and getting all my

10 voicemails and he said, hey, I think we should

11 meet out there, we need to make you happy.

Q And at any time a deficiency's identified 12

13 by the superintendent, that delays the

14 construction schedule; right?

15 A No, not necessarily.

Q If it's a quick fix it doesn't, if it's a 16

17 more extreme fix it might?

A It would depend on what it was you're 18

19 fixing. I mean, me stopping the stucco guy and

20 saying, hey, listen, don't nail through the

21 flashing, you need to use foam, that doesn't delay

22 anything, that's just kind of don't do it this

23 way, do it this way. The three-ply column we

24 talked about earlier, yeah, sure, that's something

25 that would delay construction.

Page 125 that remained --

> 2 A Yes.

4

6

3 O -- is that accurate?

5 Q Did construction slow at that point?

I wouldn't know.

7 Q In hindsight, do you wish there was more

8 oversight of personnel from KB on-site at

9 Willowbrook?

10 A No, I don't feel in any way that was an

issue at any point in time. 11

12 Q Being in the Marine Corps you're fairly

13 thorough; would you agree? 14

A Yes.

Q Would you agree that not everybody's as 15

16 thorough as yourself?

A I'm sure some people are more thorough 17

18 than others and some in different ways.

19 Everyone's different.

20 Q In your opinion, were KB's

21 superintendents adequately trained to identify

22 gaps in wall panels?

23 MR. COGBURN: Form.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

25 BY MR. MARSHALL:

Page 128

Page 126 Q When they applied a one-coat system in 2 essence and applied all three coats at the same

3 time, what was the remedy there? Did they tear it

4 out and start it over?

5 A I don't recall what building it was, but

6 I remember maybe 39 or 40 they applied another

7 coat to the building.

8

23

Q On top of the --

9 A On top of the existing coat.

10 Q But not another two coats?

A No. Well, at that point the thickness 11

12 had been met. I guess you could call it two

13 coats, they had to scratch everything and come

14 back and texture it. You can call the texture

15 coat the third coat.

16 Q Out of curiosity, I think you mentioned

17 there were three superintendents when you were the

18 regional construction manager; is that correct?

19 A Correct.

20 Q And then when you were present as the

21 project manager there were two project managers

22 running Willowbrook?

A Correct.

24 Q And then when you left -- and again, I

25 guess you guess there was only one, Danny Vinson,

Q Were they adequately trained to identify 2 wall panels that were placed in a manner

inconsistent with the plans? 3

A Yes. 4

24

1

13

5 Q Were they adequately trained to identify

stucco that was applied too thin or too thick?

7 MR. COGBURN: Form.

8 THE WITNESS: Yes.

9 BY MR. MARSHALL:

10 Q Were you in charge of the hiring and

placing the superintendents at Willowbrook as the 11

12 regional construction manager?

A No.

14 Q Do you know who was?

A Prior to me getting up there I had no 15

16 involvement in it. Mark Parsons, when I took over

as the regional construction manager, those folks 17

were relieved of their duties with KB Home, and

19 Sean McNelis, who was the director of

20 construction, transferred some of the guys from

21 down south up there.

Q Okay. Was there a requirement to have a 22

23 general contractor's license to be a

24 superintendent?

25 A No.



Page 129

Q Were you involved in or do you recall any 2 discussions about cost savings associated with

3 hiring superintendents that weren't licensed?

MR. COGBURN: Form.

5 THE WITNESS: That's not a conversation

6 that -- I remember never having that

conversation. Most superintendents aren't

8 licensed general contractors.

9 BY MR. MARSHALL:

10 Q So they rely strictly on the training

provided by the builder to be able to point out 11

12 defects or construction issues?

13 Α No.

4

7

14 Q What would they rely on?

A Field experience. I was a construction 15

16 manager for years before I became a general

17 contractor. There are some people that go through

18 an entire career who don't become a general

19 contractor but they're still competent and

20 qualified to run a project for a builder, they

21 just don't have the desire to have the general

22 contractor's license and do something on their

23 own.

3

4

5

6

7

8

24 Q And so essentially it's on-the-job

25 training, correct, field experience? Are you

Q They were a third-party inspector, I

2 believe you testified to; correct?

3 A Yes.

4

9

21

Q And at what point did they inspect?

5 Somewhere between Tyvek being complete

and wire lath being complete and one more time 6

7 when the home was complete.

Did they ever inspect the framing? 8

Yes. I mean, the framing was part of

their inspection when they came at the point of 10

looking at it when the Tyvek was installed and 11

12 often cases when the wire lath was installed.

13 Were you ever on-site during one of those

14 inspections?

A Yes. 15

Q Did they have a set of plans with them? 16

17 Of course.

18 Do you believe that it was their job to

19 also ensure that the building was built in

20 accordance with plans and specs?

MR. COGBURN: Form.

22 THE WITNESS: No.

23 BY MR. MARSHALL:

24 Q If that wasn't their job, what are they

25 inspecting for?

Page 132 A Well, their job is not to enforce that 1

the building is built per specs, that's the job of

3 the project manager and of the subcontractors who

have taken on the responsibility of doing whatever

type of work that it is. Their sole purpose was

6 to come in as a third party, extra set of eyes,

7 come in and inspect what work has been done at

that point primarily from a water intrusion 8

9 perspective and make recommendations on any

10 deficiencies that they saw.

11 Q Okay. Now, deficiencies, if they're

12 looking for water intrusion issues and they're not

13 really there to inspect whether or not the work

14 was done according to plans and specs, are they

15 there to determine if it was done in accordance

16 with the building code?

17 A They're looking at it from an end result

18 standpoint of is this is going to leak or not. If

19 they see something that needs to be done that's

20 above and beyond what building code dictates or

above and beyond what the plan dictates, they make 21

22 their recommendation, but it's also a combination

23 of all of the above. I mean, they are familiar --24 they are very familiar with ASTM standards, with

25 building code, and they are familiar with the



learning from a trade or from the builder that you 2 work for?

MR. COGBURN: Form.

THE WITNESS: I think it depends. Each case is different. Every person is different.

Their life experiences that get them to the position where they're at is different. Some

of the superintendents that have worked for me

9 were actually framers in the field for 15 10

years, so I don't think he really needed me to 11 training him on framing. It's hard to make a

12 general statement like that.

13 BY MR. MARSHALL:

14 Q Okay. I think I asked you this earlier, 15 but I'll make sure. Since you were let go in

16 2009, have you spoken to any of the

17 superintendents assigned to the Willowbrook

18 project?

19

Yes. Α

20 Q Did you speak with them about the 21 project?

22 Α

23 Q I believe you talked earlier about WCPC,

24 West Coast Property Consultants.

25 A Yes.



Page 136

Page 133

1 blueprint, they've looked at it numerous times and

2 are familiar with the product, so I think they

3 take all of that into consideration when they make 4 their recommendations.

Q When they're pointing out deficiencies, 6 they'll point out deficiencies in the building 7 whether it's not in accordance with the plans and

8 specs, not in accordance with the building code or

9 in compliance with the building code, and also 10 potential design issues; am I correct in that?

A I wouldn't say that design issues unless 11 12 there's something that they -- there's an issue 13 that we bring to their attention or we might have 14 a systematic problem and we ask them for a 15 solution.

16 They're mostly looking at ASTM standards, 17 the building code, and more than that it's a 18 performance-based inspection. The blueprints 19 really don't tell you anything about how to 20 waterproof that home. There are specific 21 instructions on what strap to use here, what to 22 use there, just general notes.

Q Did they provide a report after 23 24 inspecting each building?

25 A Yes.

5

1 Q Did they come out and reinspect?

2 Α Yes. Depending on what the situation

3 was.

4

Q What do you mean by that?

5 A Well, they were in the neighborhood

6 frequently, so many times they went back. Most of

the stuff that they looked at in regard to stucco

was done at their final inspection. They're

coming there typically before the stucco's

10 installed. There was some times where they might

have came and the wire lathers were there 11

12 installing wire lath and they took a look at that,

13 but usually when they looked at stucco application

14 it was at the final inspection. And typically

15 when they came back to inspect the next building

16 they did go back and take a look at anything that

17 was deficient.

18 Q I don't mean to sound smug, but how is it 19 possible for Gallo to have failed a framing 20 inspection if the subcontractor does his job, the

21 superintendent comes and inspects, West Coast

22 Property comes out and inspects and provides a

23 report for any deficiencies which are then

24 remediated and reinspected, everyone misses it and

25 then Manatee County comes out and fails the

Page 134

Q Have you ever seen one of those reports?

2 Α Yes.

1

9

3 Q How about for the eight to 12 buildings you were the project manager for at Willowbrook?

5

6 Did they ever bring up to your attention or KB missing hurricane straps? 7

8 Α No.

> Q Incorrect framing?

10 A They weren't inspecting the structural 11 integrity of the framing. They might have brought 12 things up framing-wise as it could potentially 13 affect a water intrusion situation such as the 14 fascia returns touching the block wall -- it's not 15 pressure-treated wood, there's no paper behind 16 it -- that is a specific instance where they might 17 say, hey, the way you framed this is incorrect.

18 Q What about the application of stucco, 19 incorrect application?

20 A Yes.

21 Q If West Coast Property Consultants gave a 22 report to KB that said that there was incorrect stucco application on one of the eight to 12 24 buildings, was it remediated at that time? 25 A Yes.

1 framing?

21

2 A Well, number one, like I said before,

3 WCPC is looking at framing from a water intrusion

standpoint. They're not looking to see if you

have an HUS 26 installed on girder 4X as opposed

to an MSTM 18. He wouldn't know what to look at

7 with that. Really, the primary responsibility of

ensuring the framing is done correctly in that 8

particular case would fall on Gallo and

specifically Henry as the license holder. 10

Gallo had foremen in place whose sole 11 12 responsibility was to ensure that the framing was 13 done correctly. And although the superintendents 14 and project managers make an effort to ensure that 15 it's done, the primary responsibility of ensuring 16 that the framing is done per plan, per code, and 17 ready for the inspector is handled directly by 18 Gallo's not subcontractors, but foremen and 19 supervisors, Kris Perry, Joe, any one of those 20 guys.

When the superintendent goes in and 22 checks, he checks the best that he can, but he has 23 a lot of other responsibilities: homeowner 24 meetings, meeting with the roofer, checking to 25 make sure that the stucco guy is not nailing



- 1 through the flashing and all that kind of stuff.
- 2 He does the best he can to ensure that everything
- 3 is ready, but there's a lot of components that go
- 4 into that, so ultimately the Gallo foremen and
- 5 then the check and balance for that is the city
- 6 inspector or the county up there.
- 7 Q But more superintendents would have 8 relieved some of that pressure to get to five
 - different places at the same time; right?
- 10 A No. I don't think it would have been
- 11 cost prohibitive nor is it standard procedure for
- 12 any builder I've ever worked for in the years I've
- 13 been doing this, to pay a superintendent who's
- 14 going to spend, you know, four or five hours of
- 15 the day inspecting a subcontractor's work to
- 16 ensure that it's done right. If you did that you 17 would need an on-site roofing superintendent to
- 18 check Millard to make sure that they put their 19 shingles on right and you would need an on-site
- 20 superintendent to check the block guy. At that
- 21 point we're doing it ourselves, we're not
- 22 subcontracting the work.
- 23 Q I'll hit on one thing you said. They
- 24 didn't inspect the structural components of a
- 25 building, West Coast Property Consultants;
 - Page 138
- 2 A That was not their sole purpose.
- 3 Sometimes there was an overlap in how those
- 4 structural components affected water intrusion,
- 5 which was the primary purpose of their inspection.
- Q Did you have another third-party
- 7 consultant company that came out to inspect
- structural issues? 8
- 9 A No.

14

1 correct?

- Q Are you familiar with a company named 10 11 PEICO?
- 12 A PEICO, yes.
- 13 Q What was their role at Willowbrook?
 - A PEICO was a third-party inspector that I
- 15 hired as the regional construction manager to come
- 16 in and inspect the three-ply columns that I
- 17 mentioned before that had a problem. When those
- 18 were repaired, those were repaired correctly
- 19 through the county with a permit.
- 20 In my dealings with the building official
- 21 at Manatee County, they did not have time or the
- 22 resources to have inspectors on standby all the
- 23 time to check those, so we did what's commonly
- 24 known as permit by affidavit, which is where you
- 25 have a licensed third-party P.E. come in and

- Page 139 1 inspect the work and certify that the work was
- 2 done correctly, so that's what PEICO did for us.
- 3 Q You said earlier that the primary
- 4 responsibility in the framing and even stucco
- application would be on the subcontractor; is that
- 6 correct?

8

9

- 7 A Yes.
 - Q So some responsibility would bore on KB?
 - MR. COGBURN: Form.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 11 BY MR. MARSHALL:
- 12 Q Who was responsible for purchasing
- 13 material at Willowbrook, KB, or the various
- 14 trades?
- 15 A It changed throughout different points in
- 16 the process. Originally it was pretty much
- 17 standard in the marketplace that everything was
- 18 turnkey where the subcontractor supplied their own
- 19 materials. As the market began to contract, some
- 20 materials were supplied by the builder in a lot of
- 21 cases, KB included, and the subcontractor provided
- 22 labor only, but even through that period of time
- 23 most of the material was supplied by the vendors.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 A The only case I think in Willowbrook

Page 140

- 1 where it was not is with regard to the wall 2 panels.
- 3 Q Not even hurricane straps?
- 4 A Well, the hurricane straps are -- the
- 5 hurricane straps both. The hurricane straps are
- 6 typically supplied by the truss manufacturer and
- in the case of the wall panels, what was required 7
- for the wall panels, that was supplied through the 8
- 9 lumber supplier.
- Q Right. So the truss manufacturer would 10
- supply the hurricane straps to KB and KB in turn 11
- 12 would supply those to the framers?
 - A Yes.
- 14 Do you recall any occasions where a
- 15 subcontractor disagreed with the materials that KB
- selected for use on a particular job? 16
- 17 Α No.

- 18 Q What about the peel-and-stick in Phase I?
- 19 Well, I think the peel-and-stick was more
- 20 so my objection than the roofer and I don't know
- if the roofer objected to Chad or not. I mean,
- 22 like I stated earlier, I wasn't involved in those
- 23 conversations.
- 24 Q Okay. You don't recall receiving an
- 25 e-mail from an employee of the roofer?



Page 141

A I received lots of e-mails from the 2 roofer.

3 Q Specifically saying that she didn't feel 4 like it was proper application and that they

shouldn't use that material?

A That e-mail was sent directly to me?

Q I'm just asking you if you recall.

8 MR. COGBURN: Form.

9 THE WITNESS: I don't recall an e-mail 10 that was sent directly to me regarding that.

11 BY MR. MARSHALL:

12 Q Do you recall providing testimony to the

13 Attorney General on October 22nd, 2013?

14 A Yes.

6

7

Q I'm going to read this, and then I'll 15

16 hand it to you to see if it refreshes your

17 recollection.

18 A Sure.

19 Q On page 68 of that deposition, starting

20 on line 9, "She actually e-mailed Chad, Danny, and

21 myself stating, hey, we'll put in -- basically,

22 we'll put in whatever you want to put in, but I'm

23 telling you this is not the product you want to

24 use, it's not made for that application, you're

25 going to have problems down the road."

Page 142

And let me --MR. LUBLINER: I have a clean copy.

BY MR. MARSHALL:

Q Does that refresh your recollection?

A Is this the e-mail, or is this my 5

6 testimony?

1

7 Q Your testimony on October 22nd, 2013. I

8 know it's been a number of years.

9 A It has been a number of years and

10 probably tens of thousands of e-mails since.

11 I don't remember her sending the e-mail 12 to me, but I think I do recall the e-mail being

13 sent. I think it was more sent -- it was between

14 her and Chad was the correspondence. But, yes, I

15 recall now I think her telling purchasing that

16 that was not the material to use.

17 Q Just so we're clear, the testimony you 18 provided on page 68 of the deposition given to the

19 Attorney General on October 22nd is an error?

20 A Maybe it's not an error. My memory was 21 probably better in 2013 than it is in 2015

22 regarding something that happened in 2007. 23 Q Do you recall how the situation was

24 handled by KB?

A In regard to? 25

1 Q The disagreement between whether or not

2 to use peel-and-stick.

3 A As I stated earlier, ultimately the

decision by Mr. Burlingame was made on what

product was to be used.

6 Q And again, Mr. Burlingame has, to your

7 knowledge, no construction experience?

8 A Correct.

9

Q Was there a process in place for

10 subcontractors to alert KB if they were low on

11 materials on the site or on the job site?

12 A I don't think there was a codified

13 process. Most of the subcontractors supplied

14 their own material. The only case where they

15 didn't supply their own material was really in

16 essence the wall panels and some of the lumber

17 components that hold the wall panels together and

18 if that was the case, you know, shortages happen.

19 Typically, we had extra material on-site that they

20 could pull from; if not, Kris or Joe, or whoever

21 the foreman was at the time, would call me or text

22 me or Danny or e-mail both of us and say, hey, I'm

23 short X, Y, and Z in this quantity at building

24 whatever.

25 Q I want you to assume that they did tell

Page 144 1 the superintendent that they were missing

hurricane straps. What would you expect the

3 superintendent to do at that point?

4 A Get them the straps.

5 Q Again --

6 A I recall times where something came up

7 missing and Joe came to me and said, hey, listen,

I'm missing six of these, four of these, and 10 of

9 these. I remember saying, really, dude, you're

10 going to call me up on the final hour? What do

11 you want me to do? You supply them, I'll give you

12 an extra work order for them. That's how it was

13 handled.

14

21

Q Who's Joe?

15 A A foreman for Gallo who was directly in

16 charge of framing and managing Gallo's

17 subcontractors that were on-site.

18 Q In that case they would have to handle

19 purchasing the hurricane straps?

20 Α Correct.

> Q Through your vendor at that point? I

22 can't remember the vendor's name. UFP, I believe

23 it was.

24 A No, no.

25 Q However they were going to come about



Page 145

1 the --

- 2 A Some of those straps you can buy at
- 3 Home Depot or Lowe's. I just wasn't going to run
- 4 to Lowe's and expense a couple straps, you know,
- 5 so we went the Gallo guys to go get what they
- 6 needed.
- 7 Q Did they bring the work order to you at
- 8 that point? When you told them to go out, we'll
- 9 give you a new work order for these straps, did
- 10 they give that work order to you?
- 11 A It was handled different ways. Sometimes
- 12 Joe said, hey, man, I got that stuff for you, you
- 13 have to write me a work order for 100 bucks, 50
- 14 bucks; sometimes they e-mailed. It was handled
- 15 all different ways.
- 16 Q Were they ever told not to worry about
- 17 it, it's not that big of a deal?
- A Absolutely not. 18
- 19 Q Do you agree that under no circumstance
- 20 should there be a missing hurricane strap in a
- 21 building?

1 else? 2

- 22 A Oh, I would agree with that, yes.
- 23 Q And you certainly shouldn't close on the
- 24 house with the missing hurricane strap with all
- 25 the inspections that were done and everything

A Well, I don't think you should close a

- Page 146
- determination that spruce was, in fact, placed
- 3 home any time there's a latent defect in it.
- 4 Whether or not you knew about the latent defect is
- 5 another matter.
- Q Would that be a latent defect, a missing 7 hurricane strap?
- A I would think a missing hurricane strap 8
- 9 would be something you wouldn't want to close a
- 10 home with. I would think that's a defect that
- 11 could affect the structural integrity and the life
- 12 and safety of the people living in that home, so I
- 13 would say, yeah, you wouldn't close a home like
- 14 that.
- 15 Q When you alerted KB Home about the use of 16 spruce instead of southern yellow pine, did they
- 17 immediately stop closing new homes?
- 18 A Not immediately.
- 19 Q What did it take to get them to stop
- 20 closing?
- MR. COGBURN: Form. 21
- 22 BY MR. MARSHALL:
- 23 Q What's your opinion as to why KB was
- 24 reluctant to delay closings to fix the incorrect
- 25 columns?

- A Why they were reluctant to stop the
- 2 closings or --

- 3 Q To delay the closings.
- 4 A Why they were reluctant to close them? I
- 5 mean, I didn't think we were here about opinions
- 6 and assumptions; we're here to talk about facts.
- I can't get into Steve George's head nor can I get
- into Rick Carruthers' head on why they made those 8
- 9 decisions.
- 10 I can tell you that I identified a
- problem that I felt was a serious problem, I 11
- 12 brought it to the attention of everyone and anyone
- 13 that was in the chain of command to let them know
- 14 that this was a serious issue and it needed to be
- 15 remedied. At that point we discussed
- 16 Ruben O'Neill coming, that's when Ruben came, and
- 17 Ruben and I had a conversation.
- 18 Q And Ruben O'Neill is the HR manager?
- 19 Α Correct.
- 20 Q What would he have to do with a
- 21 construction matter?
- A I wouldn't know. 22
- 23 Q Did he contact you?
- 24 Α
- 25 Q After you had already made the
- Page 148
- instead of southern yellow pine and you elevated
- 3 it up the chain?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q What was the nature of those discussions?
- 6 A Well, it was really one discussion. I
- 7 met Ruben at Willowbrook -- this was the meeting
- 8 that we discussed earlier in the deposition --
- 9 Ruben asked me what was going on. I explained the
- 10 situation to him, what the defect was, what my
- 11 opinion was, we just really ran the gamut on the
- 12 entire situation, discussed a few other things
- 13 that were going on in the division, staffing,
- 14 normal stuff. That was the last conversation I
- 15 had with Ruben.
- 16 Q Is that normal practice when you were
- 17 there, for an HR person to be involved in a
- 18 construction decision?
- 19 A Well, I wouldn't say it was normal or
- 20 abnormal. That was the first time that I had a
- 21 situation come up of that nature.
- 22 Q How about the next time you made a
- 23 recommendation to reduce the risk of water
- 24 intrusion or some other defect, was there an HR
- 25 manager involved?



2

11 12

2

4

15

Q I believe you said earlier that some of

3 your recommendations as far as a single flashing,

4 I guess, in the slider door -- I'm not a

5 construction person, so if I misspeak I apologize.

6 Some of your recommendations were taken, others

7 were not?

8 A Correct.

9 Q Were you ever given reasons as to why

10 your recommendations were not implemented?

Q Would you have liked to have known?

13 A Of course.

14 Q During your time at Willowbrook, did you

15 ever receive pressure from your superiors to

16 deliver the townhomes on schedule?

17 A That's a loaded question. The name of

18 this business at every builder I've ever worked

19 for is delivering the homes on time. It goes

20 beyond a business responsibility and a

21 responsibility to shareholders when you've got a

22 handful of people that are planning their child's

23 school and moving and everything else, you kind of

24 have to deliver on the promise and get them in

25 when you told them you were.

Page 150

1 Q I understand.

Did KB take any steps to ensure buildings

3 were completed in a timely manner?

A Of course, that was the name of the game.

5 Q What steps did they take?

6 A Ensuring that the homes were moving, that

7 construction was commencing and getting done in a

timely fashion, that there was vendors on-site to

9 take care of the work.

Q Did you have a time frame from start to 10

11 finish to finish a building?

A We did. I don't recall what the schedule 12

13 was and how accurate we were in meeting that

14 designated time frame.

Q And so I assume then that there was a

16 specified time frame for stucco application and

17 the various trades to be done in order to hit a

18 closing date or a building completion date;

19 correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q I believe you testified that

22 superintendents and project managers were directly

reporting to you at some point when you were

regional construction manager; is that correct? 24

25 A Yes.

Page 151 Q At that time were you in charge of the 1

> 2 superintendent's rate of pay and bonuses?

A To a certain degree.

Q You could give him a raise if you wanted

5 to?

3

4

17

19

22

10

11

6 A I could submit for a raise to be put in.

7 Ultimately, it had to be approved by the division

president and by human resources. Bonuses were 8

9 based off of a certain matrix. I did have a

10 certain degree of latitude as far as discretionary

11 bonus goes.

12 Q What type of matrix did KB use?

A There were several things that factored 13

14 in. A small portion of it was cycle time, a small

15 portion was overages, but primarily the focus on

the bonus was based on customer satisfaction. 16

Q What's cycle time?

18 Start to finish of a home.

Most likely part of customer satisfaction

20 would be the fact that they received their home in

21 a timely manner; correct?

That is a part of it.

23 Q Was it a step bonus meaning if you met a

24 certain percentage of cycle time you would receive

25 this bonus and if you had a higher rate of

Page 152 1

efficiency, you received a higher bonus?

2 A Honestly, it's hard for me to recall. 3 The bonus structure changes frequently. I don't

remember what it specifically was at that time.

For the most part a small piece of the bonus is 5

whether you made the cycle time or not. The other

part on the overages was kind of a cut-and-dried 7

thing and the same thing with the customer 8

9 satisfaction, it's based on surveys.

Q What's overages?

Variable purchase orders that are written

12 to complete the home, things that are not

13 incorporated in the budget, not line items.

14 Q If a superintendent said I need 10 more

15 hurricane straps than what was provided, is that

16 an overage? It's a variable purchase order;

17 correct?

18 A Yes and no; it depends. Sometimes that's

19 just a shortage in what was delivered, in which

20 case you're not having to -- it's not a variable

purchase order and the supplier would send it back 21

22 out. Variable purchase orders also judged against

23 the bonus aren't necessarily, you know, purchasing 24 errors or things like that aren't held against the

25 superintendent, it's more so superintendent



Page 153

Page 154

1 errors.

25

9

2 Q So a purchasing error, then, would be 3 they didn't adequately ship the materials or KB 4 didn't order enough?

5 A Something that wasn't included in the 6 scope of work whether it was time, material, 7 labor, whatever the case is.

Q And so am I right in assuming that 8 9 overages is a bad thing, the higher the number the 10 worse it looks on your opportunity to receive a 11 bonus?

12 A No, not necessarily, depending on what 13 the overages are. If the overages are as a direct 14 result of mistakes made by the construction 15 manager in the field, obviously that looks better 16 to not have a lot of those, but some of them don't 17 reflect on the superintendent. That's why they're 18 coded. If it's a purchasing error, obviously the 19 superintendent isn't held accountable for a 20 mistake that the purchasing department made.

21 Q If it was a result of a different issue, 22 it would be coded differently in the system?

A There's scores of codes to put in as to 23 24 why you're having to do something like that.

Q And would the person who is coding know

1 additional materials?

MR. COGBURN: Form. 2

3 THE WITNESS: No.

4 BY MR. MARSHALL:

Q Would you say that superintendents have financial incentive to overlook items that were

not glaring deficiencies?

8 A No.

5

6

9 Were they ever recognized for pointing Q

10 out deficiencies as not being built per plans and

11 specs or the Florida Building Code?

12 A I can't really speak on such a macro 13 level as to who was praised for what for stuff

14 five or six years ago. I can tell you that the

15 guys directly who reported to me if they brought

16 something to my attention like that, they would have gotten kudos for bringing something like that 17

18 up. 19

Q You're kind of the exception, aren't you?

20 A Again, I can't really speak for other

21 people. I can tell you what I would have done. I

22 can tell you what I did do as a regional

23 construction manager, and I certainly would have

24 commended and publicly commended somebody who

25 brought something to my attention.

Page 156

1 all the issues on-site to be able to code it 2 correctly?

3 A Well, the superintendent codes it when 4 they submit the request. When I submit an extra 5 work order for whatever the case is I have to put 6 a description on there as to what it is that I 7 need, how much, and in that same work order I put 8 the code as to why.

Q The cynic in me is asking why wouldn't 10 they always code it as being someone else's issue 11 and then they would never get hit for overages?

12 A At some point what happens in the dark 13 always comes to light. You can't just pencil-whip 14 everything, there's other people that review it. 15 You can't say it's a purchasing error when the 16 work order is going to go to the purchasing 17 department and you're saying, hey, it's your

18 fault, you should have ordered 20 straps, not 10, 19 and the purchasing guy looks at it and says I did

20 order 20, I didn't order 10, that's what's in the 21 contract. You can only finesse the paperwork so 22 much.

23 Q So even if these are issues that need to 24 get done to prevent water intrusion or any other 25 issue, they would actually get dinged for ordering

Q Just in this deposition you've pointed 1

out the spruce-versus-southern-yellow-pine issue,

3 the fact that you disagreed with the

4 peel-and-stick and a whole host of other issues.

5 Did you also recommend a higher grade of paint and

caulk to be applied?

7 A I did.

8 Q And they didn't take you up on that

9 recommendation either?

10 A No, they did not.

Q In fact, the peel-and-stick was such a

12 big issue that you removed your license being

applied to that, or as the qualifier; is that 13

14 correct?

11

15

16

18

That was part of the reason, yes. Α

What were the other parts of the reason? Q

17 Some of the things we just discussed. Α

Q Have you ever known anyone else to do

that, remove their license as the qualifier when

20 they disagreed with the way something was being

21 built?

22 A Yes. Not specifically at KB, but in

23 general that's the wise thing to do.

24 Q Okay. To you it's a quality control

25 issue?



Page 157

A Well, it's a personal responsibility

- 2 issue. If I'm the license holder, I want to
- 3 ensure that what's being done under my license is
- 4 done to my satisfaction. It kind of goes back to
- 5 the conversation that we had before regarding the
- 6 roofing. If I'm the roofer and you say, hey, do
- 7 this -- or whoever it is, the electrician -- I go
- 8 back and tell the electrician on the job site,
- 9 hey, I need to get this done, don't worry about
- 10 how you do it, just throw it together, he'd
- 11 probably laugh in my face and say, this is my
- 12 license, I'm not risking my license for this. It
- 13 was the same thing with me.
- Q But you kept your job, right, at KB for a 14 15 time period after you removed your license?
- A For a short period, yes. 16
- Q There's no guarantee that the subs would 17
- 18 have been kept on the project as a subcontractor
- 19 if they disagreed with KB and went against their
- 20 wishes. Do you agree?
- 21 A Well, I don't think it's -- I couldn't
- 22 speculate as to what would happen with that. I
- 23 mean, I didn't keep my job as the qualifier. I
- 24 was on as a superintendent. I mean, if someone
- 25 was asking you to do something that you felt was
 - Page 158
- 1 unethical, or whatever the case is, I would think
- 2 that as a responsible contractor you would not
- 3 want to do that work.
- Q During that time period was that a 4
- 5 booming market?
- A No. At that time the market already 7 started to contract.
- Q Were you part of any value engineering 8 discussions with regard to the Willowbrook
- 10 project?
- 11 A Not really.
- 12 Q You qualified that "not really." Did you
- 13 have a partial discussion about value engineering,
- 14 or was there any discussion?
- 15 A Value engineering became the new buzz
- 16 word and business model for every builder in
- 17 southwest Florida during that time. I did not sit
- 18 down with Mr. Burlingame nor anyone else in upper
- 19 management and have a discussion of what my
- 20 thoughts or the ramifications were on value
- 21 engineering, but as it was something that was
- 22 taking place in the industry, sure, we had
- 23 conversations about it. Danny and I talked about
- 24 it. Painters were talking about it. Everyone was
- 25 talking about it.

- 1 Q I don't know if you've ever heard the
 - 2 term LPTA, lowest price technically acceptable.
 - 3 Is that in essence what KB was doing at that
 - 4 point?

6

9

- 5 A I've never heard that term.
 - Q What's your understanding as to why KB
- 7 chose to use OSB instead of plywood at
- Willowbrook? 8
 - A I don't really know. I was not involved
- 10 in those decisions. That was the material that
- was being used prior to me getting there. That
- 12 was the material that was specified on the print.
- 13 You know, there always is a better product I think
- 14 that you can use. I'm not a big proponent of OSB,
- 15 but a lot of the builders here locally use OSB for
- 16 their roofs and for their wall systems. How they
- 17 come to that conclusion, I don't know.
- 18 Q As part of your training as regional
- 19 construction manager, I believe you testified
- 20 earlier that you received training in quality
- 21 control: is that correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q What was the nature of that training?
- 24 A Well, as I stated earlier, we had
- 25 Internet-based modules that we took from corporate

Page 160

- on, you know, different things in regards to a 2 quality aspect to look at, which the
- 3 superintendents had a lot more of than the
- 4 regional managers. It's assumed at the regional
- 5
- manager level you should be able to be giving
- 6 training and not receiving it as much.
- 7 Q At some point after being laid off, did
- 8 you file suit against KB Home?
- 9 A Yes.

15

23

- 10 Q And what was the nature of the suit?
- 11 A It was -- I don't know. I'm not an
- 12 attorney nor did I bring counsel with me. I don't
- know what the legal term for it is. I guess you
- 14 would say a wrongful termination.
 - Q Was it under the Whistleblower Act?
- 16 A Like I said, I don't know the legalese
- 17 and the terminology that was used and the filing
- of the motions and --18
- 19 Q Did you believe you were terminated for 20 bringing up quality control issues, for lack of a
- better term, to KB superiors? 21
- 22 A Yes.
 - MR. COGBURN: Form.
- 24 BY MR. MARSHALL:
 - Q Did you settle that case with KB?



Page 161 Page 163 A I'm a little more friendly than Danny. 1 2 Q Is it subject to a confidentiality 2 Q I wouldn't put that on the record. 3 agreement? 3 MR. MARSHALL: I think that's all I have. 4 4 A Yes. Thank you. 5 Q Is counsel for KB representing you in 5 MR. COGBURN: Let's take a five-minute 6 this matter at all? 6 7 A No. 7 (Recess taken from 2:43 p.m. to 8 8 Q He's not here on your behalf today? 2:54 p.m.) 9 9 A No. **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 10 Q Did you have discussions with him 10 BY MR. FORD: 11 yesterday? 11 Q Mr. Brown, my name is Tim Ford. I 12 A I actually just met him downstairs. 12 represent Universal Forest Products in this 13 Q Did he talk to you on the phone 13 action. I'm going to ask you a few questions. 14 yesterday, counsel for KB? 14 I'll try not to repeat some of the stuff you've 15 A He did. 15 been through, but you know how that goes. Q What was the nature of those discussions? Initially, you were shown this transcript 16 16 A I asked him if there was any way I would 17 that you read from earlier today, which is your 17 18 not have to go, because it's very inconvenient for 18 testimony for the Attorney General's Office, 19 me here in the fourth quarter with a lot of homes 19 October 22, 2013, and I think you previously 20 getting ready to close, and take the day off of 20 testified you recall giving that testimony? 21 work. Although I love you attorneys, this is not 21 Α Yes. 22 a very pleasurable experience. It was the same 22 Q And it was under oath? 23 conversation that I had with the office for 23 Α Yes. 24 24 Millard Roofing, or the attorney for them, when I Q Is there any reason sitting here today 25 called and said, hey, what time, where is it, and 25 that you don't believe that the testimony you gave Page 162 Page 164 at that proceeding was truthful and accurate? 1 do I really have to come. 1 2 2 MR. COGBURN: And I told you it was A No. 3 3 Q And do you believe that, frankly, it might be a better reflection of your recollection 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, you did, because I was 5 under the impression it was tomorrow. since it was closer in time than maybe your

6 BY MR. MARSHALL:

7 Q Subsequent to settling the lawsuit, have 8 you had any discussions with anyone at KB with 9 reference to the Willowbrook project?

10 A Yes.

21

11 Q And who did you have discussions with?

12 A Well, I mean, I've spoken to Danny. I've

13 spoken to Cathy. I see Bobby Zahn frequently.

14 John Turner works with me now. I don't think

15 there's ever been a point in time where we've

16 specifically had a conversation about leaking

17 decks at Willowbrook and all the wonky stuff that

18 we're talking about today. I think it was more

19 of, hey, do you remember when we were up in

20 Willowbrook, that kind of thing.

Q Did you have any discussions with

22 Danny Vinson after his deposition?

23 A No. I didn't even know that Danny was 24 deposed. I wish we could have done it together.

Q No, you don't, trust me. 25

6 recollection today?

7 A Probably.

Q I'm going to go ahead and mark this as an 8

exhibit to your deposition since we may refer to

it here and there. 10

11 (Exhibit No. 285 was marked for purposes

12 of identification.)

13 BY MR. MARSHALL:

Q And I might refer to some parts of that 14

15 in a moment, but we'll go to it when we get there.

16 You mentioned before your lawsuit against KB Home

17 and a settlement of that lawsuit and that it

18 contained a confidentiality provision; correct?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Can you enlighten me as to what

21 specifically in the settlement is confidential?

A Again, excuse me, but I'm not an 22

23 attorney. It was my understanding that everything

24 regarding the settlement and the issues regarding

25 Willowbrook were subject to that confidentiality



Page 167

	Page 165		Page 167
1	agreement.	1	A I do not.
2	MR. COGBURN: I'll speak to KB's view,	2	Q Did it involve payment of funds to you?
3	if you'd like.	3	A Yes.
4	MR. FORD: Sure.	4	Q Do you know whether or not it involved
5	MR. COGBURN: I don't mean to interrupt	5	payment of funds in excess of \$100,000?
6	your questioning.	6	A No.
7		7	Q Do you know if it was in excess of
8	MR. FORD: I don't have a copy of what it	8	\$10,000?
9	says. I'm curious if there are specific	9	A Yes.
10	limitations.	10	Q Any ability
11	MR. COGBURN: I think the only	11	MR. COGBURN: Do you want to take a
12	confidentiality was the existence of a	12	break?
13	settlement of the matter. KB doesn't have an	13	MR. FORD: Do you have a copy it?
14	objection to talking about the settlement or	14	MR. COGBURN: I thought I did. Actually,
15	maintaining that. There's also a	15	just keep going.
16	nondisparagement clause. I spoke with	16	MR. FORD: If you can find it, that would
17	Mr. Brown in advance of the deposition this	17	be great.
18	morning to make sure that he understood that KB	18	BY MR. FORD:
19	was not intending or did not have any plans to	19	Q Consistent with the reservations and the
20	use the nondisparagement clause in any way to	20	statement made by Mr. Cogburn a moment ago,
21	chill his testimony or to impact it.	21	Mr. Brown, is it fair to say nothing to do with
22	You had that understanding before	22	your lawsuit against KB Home or your settlement
23	starting today; correct?	23	affects your testimony here today?
24	THE WITNESS: Correct.	24	A No, absolutely not.
25	MR. COGBURN: With that, ask your	25	Q You'll be as truthful and honest as you
	Page 166		Page 168
1	questions.	1	can be regarding KB Home or anything like that?

Page 165

3 is it confidential? 4 MR. COGBURN: It would be, but we're 5 happy to allow it for purposes of today. 6 MR. FORD: On the record as opposed to, 7 quote/unquote, "sealing" it? 8 MR. COGBURN: I think we ought to treat 9 it as confidential until further notice. I 10 mean, it can be in the deposition transcript, but before filing it let's have a discussion 11 12 about it. Is that fair game for everyone? 13 MR. MARSHALL: No problem. MR. FORD: The last question: Does KB 14 15 have an objection to producing a copy of the

MR. FORD: The amount of the settlement,

MR. COGBURN: Under those same terms, no objection.

settlement agreement itself under those same

20 BY MR. FORD:

terms?

2

16

17

18

19

21 Q With that in mind and that objection 22 noted for the record and reservation made by KB 23 Home, let me ask you, Mr. Brown, do you recall 24 what the amount of the settlement was that you 25 entered into with KB Home?

2 Of course.

3 Q Would the same be true for your testimony

4 if you were to be called at the trial of this

case? 5

6 A Absolutely.

7 Q You spoke earlier about the role of the

8 KB superintendents on the job and you had a lot of

testimony today about that. Can you speak to your

10 understanding of KB's role as the general

11 contractor overall with respect to its obligations

12 at Willowbrook?

A I'm not really sure what you're referring 13

14 to specifically. I can say that the

15 responsibility of the general contractor, or

16 KB Home acting as the general contractor being as

17 they're the builder, wouldn't change from

18 Willowbrook to any other community and ultimately

19 it's the job of the general contractor to oversee 20 the job.

21 Q And you'd agree that KB Home as the

22 general contractor is generally responsible for 23 all of the work that's performed at Willowbrook?

24 MR. COGBURN: Form.

25 THE WITNESS: Define "responsible."



Page 172

Page 169

1 BY MR. FORD:

- 2 Q Well, for example, there's a defect and
- 3 the homeowner's complaining, you would agree that
- 4 the general contractor bears responsibility to the
- 5 homeowner for that issue?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And as far as an obligation to supervise,
- 8 coordinate, schedule the subcontractors, the
- 9 trades, that KB, as the general contractor, has
- 10 that obligation and role also?
- 11 A I'd agree with that.
- 12 Q And I'm reading from your prior
- 13 testimony, which is helpful -- you can look at
- 14 this if you want -- but there's a statement made
- 15 where you said, "The general contractor is in less
- 16 of a hands-on role and more of a management role
- 17 and is involved in scheduling, overall product,
- 18 ensuring everything is built according to print,
- 19 and making sure everything is built according to
- 20 the Florida Building Code."

Do you agree with that statement?

22 A Yes.

21

- 23 Q There's a lot of testimony in
- 24 Exhibit 285, which is this transcript, regarding
- 25 what you've described as a very uncomfortable time

- 1 pay, salary?
- 2 A Yes.

4

9

- 3 Q Why did you stay on with KB Home?
 - A Well, at the time the market was
- 5 contracting. I really didn't have a lot of other
- 6 options at that time.
- 7 Q Would it be fair to say had you had other
- 8 comparable options you would have left?
 - A Yes.
- 10 Q Did you actively pursue other options at
- 11 that time?
- 12 A To a certain degree. Most people were
- 13 laying people off, you know, there was a lot of
- 14 reduction in force across the board throughout the
- 15 industry, so to a certain degree, yes.
- 16 Q Understood. And I wanted to spend a
- 17 moment -- and I'm going to scribble on my paper
- 18 here -- on a timeline, if you can help me out.
- There was a period of time when you
- 20 indicated you were regional construction manager
- 21 and you were at Willowbrook and you indicated
- 22 roughly about a six-month period. Is that
- 23 generally accurate?
- 24 A Where I was the regional construction
- 25 manager?

Page 170

- 1 when you were raising these issues with various
- 2 folks at KB Home about the means and methods of
- 3 construction, the products being used, et cetera,
- 4 and specifically regarding closings and the
- 5 closings schedules. Some of this initial
- 6 testimony related to spruce versus the yellow pine
- 7 wood product issue. What do you mean in general
- 8 by it being an uncomfortable time?
- 9 A Well, just that, it was an uncomfortable
- 10 time. I felt that after I raised the issues, I
- 11 was looked upon differently.
- 12 Q And in what way and by whom?
- 13 A By the management team at the time.
- 14 Q I know there was a time period in which
- 15 you were removed from your role as regional
- 16 construction manager and removed from Willowbrook
- 17 and you came back. Did you feel that your removal
- 18 was part of that discomfort?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And as a result of the issues you raised,
- 21 that you were literally pushed out of Willowbrook?
- 22 A I think that my position as regional
- 23 construction manager down to project manager was a
- 24 direct result of that, yes.
- 25 Q Did that come with a decrease in your

- 1 Q Yes.
- 2 A To the best of my knowledge, that's an
- 3 accurate timeline.
- 4 Q And that would have been sometime during
- 5 2006?
- 6 A I believe so, yes.
- 7 Q Okay. And then do you know whether or
- 8 not that general period of time stretched from '05
- 9 to end of '06, or was it generally in '06?
- 10 A No, it was in '06.
- 11 Q And then there was a period of time when
- 12 you left, you were demoted from regional
- 13 construction manager, and you went and worked in
- 14 other communities in the southern part of the
- 15 state, southwest Florida; is that correct?
 - A Correct.
- 17 Q What period of time was that? I think
- 18 your prior testimony was six months, but I might
- 19 be incorrect.

- 20 A To the best of my knowledge, I believe it
- 21 was around six months.
- 22 Q And then you come back to Willowbrook as
- 23 a project manager?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q And do you think when you came back, that



Page 173

1 was in the '07 period?

2 A The latter part of '07 or beginning '08.

 $3\,\,$ The dates are all -- this was a long time ago. A

4 lot's transpired since.

5 Q Sure.

And then my understanding is that you were terminated from KB in April of 2009. Does

8 that refresh your memory, or is that accurate?

9 A Yes.

10 Q So is it fair to say from whenever you

11 came back as PM at Willowbrook where you served

12 alongside Danny Vinson, that literally from that

13 late '07, early '08 period all the way until your

14 termination, were you in the role as PM at

15 Willowbrook?

16 A What do you mean the "role as PM"?

17 Q In other words, I'm just trying to get a

18 feel for your timeline. We have you coming back

19 to Willowbrook as a PM in late '07.

20 A After you refreshed my memory with the

21 April of '09, it was probably early '08.

22 Q Early '08. And then you leave

23 Willowbrook in April of '09. During that entire

24 period of time, so the rest of '08 and first

25 quarter of '09, were you at Willowbrook in the

1 recollection?

2 A From both.

3 Q Okay. Tell me generally what that refers

to. What was being referenced when people were

referring to you as Chicken Little?

6 A Just pretty much as you stated, that I

7 was making a big deal out of something that wasn't

8 necessarily a big deal.

9 Q And would that generally encompass the

10 types of items you've testified to already this

11 afternoon, whether it be the peel-and-stick issue

12 with the decking or need for better caulking or

13 waterproofing products, those types of issues?

14 A I think more specifically it had to do

15 with the spruce-and-southern-yellow-pine issue

16 that we were discussing.

17 Q And then there's also some reference in

18 that testimony about Chinese drywall. Was that

19 also an issue?

20 A That was, yes.

21 Q You testified earlier that you don't

22 believe there was Chinese drywall at Willowbrook;

23 is that correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Is it fair to say that at some point in

Page 174

1 capacity you've been testifying today as a PM?

A Yes.

2

8

15

3 Q In other words, you didn't leave at the

4 end of '08 and go do something else?

5 A Correct.

6 Q I think you testified earlier when you

7 left KB as an employee it was from Willowbrook?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Thank you.

And so it might be a year-and-a-half period of time in which you were there as a PM, 12 '08 into summer of '09?

13 A Probably closer to a year. A year to a

14 year-and-a-half, yes.

Q I'm just trying to clarify. I was a

16 little confused. There were a lot of dates thrown17 out. I'm not holding you to this. There will be

18 other records that can verify this stuff.

There was reference to folks either referring to you directly or indirectly as Chicken

21 Little and testimony about, you know, Mr. Brown22 raising issues that the sky is falling or it's not

23 a big deal, making a mountain out of a mole hill.

24 Do you recall that testimony from the AG exhibit

25 in front of you or just from your own

Page 176 time when the Chinese drywall issue came to light

2 you were raising to those higher up at KB that,

3 hey, we should investigate this property to see if

4 they have Chinese drywall; would that be accurate?

5 A I don't think it was specifically

directed at Willowbrook. It was directed towards

7 the homes that we had just built and closed in the

8 past two years in various locations to include

9 Willowbrook.

10 Q And do you know sitting here today

whether KB undertook any specific investigation of

12 Chinese drywall with respect to these various

13 properties?

14

23

A Yes.

15 Q Is it fair to say you were not directly

16 involved in that investigation?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And you've indicated that you do not

19 believe that Willowbrook had Chinese drywall. Did

20 you ever hear from someone or see a report that

21 indicated that Willowbrook was inspected and it

22 doesn't have Chinese drywall?

A No.

24 Q What is it that leads you to believe that

25 Willowbrook did not have Chinese drywall?



Page 177

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

17

18

21

23

24

22 that?

correct?

A Yes.

14 BY MR. FORD:

A No.

A Yes, I do.

A I had spoke to Cathy regarding some of 2 the Chinese drywall remediation things that had

3 occurred. Many of those homes, although I was no

4 longer with KB, many of those homes were

5 constructed under my license, so obviously I had

6 an interest in whether they were going to be

7 remedied or left alone.

8 In my discussions with Cathy many

9 communities came up, many homeowners that I had

10 remembered; Willowbrook was not one. I don't know

11 for sure if there was any in Willowbrook or not, I

12 just didn't hear about any and I heard of several

13 others.

14 Q There was some prior testimony by you,

15 and also some of the statements made in your prior

16 testimony with the Attorney General, that during

17 this consolidation process there was obviously a

18 lot of turnover, a lot of rotating positions, and

19 downsizing of positions. Do you believe that that

20 process that KB went through to, quote/unquote,

21 "downsize" during the recession impacted KB's

22 ability to perform its duties and responsibilities

23 at Willowbrook?

1 BY MR. FORD:

24 MR. COGBURN: Form.

25 THE WITNESS: No.

25 you maintain a copy of that personally?

Page 178

1

2 Q And how so or why not?

3 A Well, like I stated in my earlier

4 testimony repeatedly, I don't think the problem

5 was with KB Home being understaffed. I think it

6 was a combination of design, installation, and

7 material.

8

Q Is it fair to say that in general

9 staffing needs, whether it be the number of

10 superintendents on-site or PMs that are out at

11 Willowbrook daily -- these are KB folks -- that at

12 some point prior to your involvement, like when

13 you were the regional construction manager that

14 you had more people than were there later in like

15 '06 versus '08? Were there more folks in '06 than 16 in '08?

17 A Well, I think it varied. I think, you

18 know, there were times when additional manpower

19 was brought in throughout '06 through '09, which

20 is not uncommon in the industry. If you have a

21 community that has a lot of closings, it makes

22 sense that you take some people from some of the

23 communities that don't have as many closings and

24 put them in.

25

When we had the issue of the

Page 180

A I don't think I have a copy of it. Once my issues were resolved with Willowbrook, you

1 southern-yellow-pine-versus-spruce wall panel

3 pulled people from every community and said, okay,

2 thing, as the regional construction manager I

4 you guys can do without one guy, I'm going to

through. This is what's going on.

bring him up here in Willowbrook to help us get

Q It's fair to say generally you understand

that KB has a responsibility to have enough people

out there to be watching and doing what it needs

Q You didn't feel like there was any time

Q There is reference to a letter you wrote,

mailed a carbon copy to yourself. Do you recall

Q Do you have a copy of that letter? Do

10 to do when the project is being constructed;

MR. COGBURN: Form.

16 when you didn't have those resources?

19 if I recall your testimony, to the Attorney

20 General -- you might have typed it -- and you

know, I don't remember the dates as vividly as I

4 did at one time and I got rid of all the

5 paperwork.

Q Do you recall who you sent that letter to

7 or who it's addressed to?

8 A Yes. Steve George, the division

9 president at the time for KB Home Fort Myers LLC.

Q And it's a letter that would have come 10

11 from you, it would have been signed by you?

12 A Yes.

Q Was it a typewritten letter?

14 Α

13

15 Q You testified earlier about a number of

16 failed inspections. I'm referring specifically to

17 your testimony regarding framing. If an

inspection failed, would you agree that it would 18

19 have been -- the failure would have been addressed

20 and remediated?

21 A Absolutely it would have been addressed

22 and remediated because you can't proceed with the

23 construction of the home unless the inspection's

24 passed.

25 Q And is it your recollection, at least for



- 1 the buildings you worked on at the time you were
- 2 out there, that all the buildings had closed and
- 3 passed inspection ultimately?
- 4 A They wouldn't be issued certificates of
- occupancies without passing.
 - Q That's a yes, though?
- 7 A Yes.

6

- 8 Q You've mentioned some design issues being
- 9 a concern, specifically the third-floor roof. Did
- 10 you have an understanding that KB architecture, or
- 11 a KB-related entity, was the architect of record
- 12 for Willowbrook?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Did you ever have any conversations with
- 15 anybody at KB architecture about any issues
- 16 regarding Willowbrook?
- 17 A No, not directly.
- 18 Q Are you familiar with any kind of, for
- 19 lack of a better term, internal RFI process that
- 20 would involve KB architecture?
- 21 A Not architecture. Yes, but not at the
- 22 superintendent/project manager level.
- 23 Q At what level would that type of
- 24 discussion happen?
- 25 A Generally changes in architecture were

- Page 183
 1 look, the layout, the dimensions of the building?
- 2 A That's exactly correct. They draw the
- 3 picture, the engineer of record decides how it
- 4 gets put together.
- 5 Q Gotcha. With respect to the same topic,
- 6 RFIs, did you have any involvement, whether it was
- 7 as a regional construction manager, or in your
- 8 role as PM at Willowbrook, with respect to RFIs or
- 9 questions with the engineer of record?
- 10 A Yes.

11

- Q And I know you mentioned Silcox Kidwell.
- 12 Would some of those conversations have been with
- 13 their office?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Were those very formal, in other words by
- 16 a clear RFI, or were some of them informal?
- 17 A The initial discussions were done through
- 18 electronic correspondence. For the most part they
- 19 were done through electronic correspondence.
- 20 There were subsequent conversations that were
- 21 handled on the fly in the field on the phone with
- 22 the EOR.
- 23 Q When you say electronic response, would
- 24 that primarily be e-mail?
- 25 A Solely e-mail, yes.

Page 184

- Page 182
 1 something that would have been handled through the
- 2 person in charge of purchasing at the direction of
- 3 the division president and the sales VP.
- 4 Q And give me your understanding of
- 5 architecture as it relates to the KB architecture
- 6 plans. And what I mean by that is obviously
- 7 there's an architect that designs the look, the
- 8 layout of the buildings and the project; correct?
 - A Yes, that would be architecture.
- 10 Q And then the architectural drawings also
- 11 include a ton of specifications, details,
- 12 citations to code and references that comport to
- 13 means and methods of construction. Would you
- 14 agree with that?
- 15 A No.

9

19

- 16 Q We don't have a set of plans to look at,
- 17 but you don't believe that kind of detail is put
- 18 into the plans by the architect?
 - A I know for certain that it's not.
- 20 Q Okay. And that type of detail that I'm
- 21 referring to, that's the kind of information that
- 22 may be put into, what, the structural drawings?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q So it's your understanding that
- 25 KB architecture really is there to design the

- Q And was it routine for someone from
- 2 Silcox Kidwell to be on-site?
- 3 A No.

- 4 Q If they were on-site it would be for a
- 5 specific issue?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q At some point in time the -- go ahead. I
- 8 didn't mean to cut you off.
- 9 A No, I just thought of something we talked
- 10 about earlier.
- 11 Q Go ahead.
- 12 A I don't remember who it was, but someone
- 13 asked if a third-party consultant other than PEICO
- 14 came out and, yes, Robert Case from Land
- 15 Investment Services, I did solicit his help with
- 16 the spruce-versus-southern-yellow-pine issue as he
- 17 is a licensed P.E. and did handle some structural
- 18 things for us, not in Willowbrook, but in other
- areas. I did have him come in and we paid him todo his own analysis of what was wrong, what needed
- 21 to be fixed and all that.
- 22 Q Is it fair to say, at least from your
- 23 understanding, that all the issues at Willowbrook
- 24 that involve the spruce-pine, quote-unquote,
- 25 "defect" were remediated?



Page 188

Page 185

2 Q And as far as you know, any issues with

- 3 the use of spruce was removed and/or remediated
- under the watch of a licensed P.E.?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q There came a time where the EOR changed
- 7 from Silcox to SEI. Are you familiar with that?
- A I'm sorry, what was that with Silcox? 8
- 9 Q There came a time when the engineer of
- 10 record for certain buildings at Willowbrook
- 11 changed from Silcox Kidwell to another engineer,
- 12 SEI, or Structural Engineers, Inc., I think is
- 13 what they go by. Do you recall that change?
- 14 A Vaguely I remember. I think that there
- 15 was a change at some point, but it occurred while
- 16 I was not there, and I think when I came back up
- 17 there the change had already been made. But at
- 18 that point all of the spruce -- that had already
- 19 been long handled.
- 20 Q And that's helpful only in the timeline.
- 21 You tell me if this is correct. When you were
- 22 there initially as the regional construction
- 23 manager all the buildings you worked on, it's your
- 24 understanding, were Silcox-engineered buildings?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q Okay. 1
 - 2 A -- were what.
 - 3 Q The buildings were designed and planned
 - as stick frame construction and at some point KB
 - purchased and utilized a wall panel construction.
 - 6 Are you familiar with that?
 - A Yes. 7
 - 8 Q Are there any concerns or thoughts you
 - 9 had with respect to the need to revise the design
 - or the plans to reflect wall panel construction
 - versus stick frame? 11
 - 12 A To revise the plan?
 - 13 Q Correct.
 - 14 A No.
 - 15 Q Did you ever have any conversations with
 - 16 any of the framers, as they were framing the
 - buildings, that they had trouble or were in any
 - 18 way confused with erecting the buildings with the
 - 19 wall panels?
 - 20 A Not that I recall. I mean, everyone was
 - 21 pretty clear.
 - 22 Q Are you familiar with any kind of
 - 23 documentation provided by the wall panel
 - manufacturers that identified, whether they're 24
 - 25 called layout drawings or placement drawings, for

Page 186

- the wall panels? 1
 - 2 A Yes.
 - 3 Q Are those documents that you would have
 - 4 had copies of?
 - 5 A Those are documents that would have come
 - 6 out with the wall panels. There would have been copies on-site. There's a copy that's submitted
 - 7 with the plan and copies were readily available in
 - my office. I didn't walk around with the layout,

 - 10 but, yes, it was in my office for me to view as
 - 11 needed.
 - 12 Q Do you recall ever needing to have
 - 13 meetings with the framers on any specific issues
 - 14 regarding how do I erect this wall panel, where
 - 15 does it go kind of issue?
 - A No.

16

- 17 Q In your experience just as a contractor,
- 18 have you worked on other projects that involved
- 19 wall panel construction?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Would you agree that it's pretty standard
- 22 and routine construction practice in the industry?
- 23
- 24 Q Is it your experience that most framers
- 25 don't have a problem with wall panel construction?

Q And then you left for a little while and

2 you came back as a PM; correct?

- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And is it fair to say that some of the
- 5 buildings you worked on as a PM would have been
- 6 Silcox buildings and some of them may have been
- 7 SEI buildings?
- 8 A I don't really recall.
- Q You'd have to specifically know the
- 10 building and see the plans?
- 11 A If I saw the plans, yeah, obviously it's
- 12 stamped on the bottom. I don't remember off the
- 13 top of my head who was the engineer of record for
- 14 what building, you know.
- 15 Q Understood.
 - One of the significant changes I'll
- 17 represent to you between the Silcox design and the
- 18 SEI design regarding the hurricane uplift was the
- 19 use of a Hurri-Bolt system versus a strapping
- 20 system. In other words, all the Silcox buildings 21 utilized the Hurri-Bolt system. Do you recall
- 22 that?

- 23 A I don't remember. I remember using the
- 24 Hurri-Bolt system. I don't remember which
- 25 engineer and what buildings --



Page 189

2 Q Do you have an opinion as to whether or 3 not framers need any kind of specialized training 4 to construct a building with wall panels versus 5 stick frame construction?

6 A No. Obviously, they need to understand 7 the product they're building and understand the plan and where the wall panels get laid out, but 9 it's easier to put wall panels together than 10 conventionally framed.

11 Q Do you know whether or not KB Home 12 internally has its engineer of record or its 13 architects review wall panel documents provided 14 by the wall panel manufacturer?

A I don't know for certain who reviews what 15 16 at that level.

17 Q In other words, it wasn't part of any job 18 duty you ever had to make sure the engineer of 19 record signed off on the wall panel layout plans?

20 A No.

21 Q Do you know if the engineer of record had 22 any involvement with reviewing wall panel layout 23 drawings or documents?

24 A I don't know for certain.

Q I represent Universal Forest Products.

1 does that change your testimony that maybe UFP was

2 on-site at some point?

3 A Yes.

6

4 Q Do you recall any specific conversations or issues you ever had with Michael Sherman?

A Not specifically other than in general

7 when is this coming, you know, that kind of thing.

Q Same vein of questions regarding UFP 8 9 specifically. Do you recall ever having any

issues with, hey, the wall panels showed up and they're broken, they're wrong, we need new ones, 11

12 or any deficiencies with them?

13 A I don't personally recall anything being 14 wrong. I remember there might have been times 15 where we had -- actually, I take that back. I

16 think we did have some that came out at times that 17 didn't have the proper fasteners or the proper

18 amount of fasteners, I should say, particularly on

19 the edges of the building in the corners where

20 it's more important that it be a specific way as

21 called out by the engineer, maybe having a broken

panel here or there. Typically, the broken panels

23 were fixed in the field, resheathed or whatever

24 the case is. And as far as the amount of

25 fasteners, that's something where the framer would

Page 190

11

14

1 Do you know who that is?

2 A Yes.

25

3 Q I'll refer to them as UFP. Had you worked with UFP prior to the Willowbrook project?

5 A I worked with Forest Products many moons 6 ago.

7 Q Okay. Let me ask you this: In the

8 Willowbrook project do you recall working with UFP 9 as a wall panel supplier for that project?

10 A No.

11 Q Do you have a recollection in your mind

12 now as to, as you were trailing off your days at 13 KB at the Willowbrook project, whether or not UFP

14 was already on-site providing wall panels or not?

15 A To the best I can recall, I don't believe 16 that they were.

17 Q Do you recognize the name

18 Michael Sherman?

19 A Yes. He was a salesperson for one of the 20 lumber companies, yes.

Q I'll represent to you that 21

22 Michael Sherman works for UFP.

23 A Okav.

24 Q In telling you that and you generally

25 recollecting that you knew he was a salesperson,

Page 192 have added fasteners and UFP would have been

notified, you know, hey, pay more attention on the next go-around.

3

4 Q To be clear on the fasteners, I don't think they're the same as a strap, but these are 5 standalone metal products the framer installs as 7 they construct the wall panels or the building?

8 A No, I'm referring to the sheathing on the panels. When I say fasteners, I don't mean 10 hurricane straps, I mean like nails.

Q Okay. I'm just trying to clarify.

12 Explain to me what you mean by there's 13 missing fasteners. I'm not following you.

A Well, I don't recall what the pattern 15 was. Every engineer is different. Let's say, for

16 instance, for the sake of argument that the

17 corners were supposed to be nailed at four inches

18 on center and maybe they came out at eight inches

on center, so at that point -- and I recall that

20 coming up -- a phone call or e-mail notification

21 was sent, hey, these didn't come out nailed right.

22 I think it might have been Gallo, who was the

23 framer, took it from eight inches on center --

24 they're out there with the nail gun anyway -- and

25 they made it four.



Page 196

Page 193

Q Would it be fair to say that you would 2 rely on Gallo or any framer, whether it's their

3 sub or another framer on the job, to make sure

4 that the building is constructed per plans, and so

5 if a wall panel needs more nails or something

6 else, that they are responsible for making sure

7 that complies with plans and specs?

8 A That's absolutely their responsibility.

9 The superintendent isn't going to go climb up on

10 an extension ladder three stories up to see if the

11 nail heads are popping out at four inches or six

12 inches on center. As a superintendent I wouldn't

13 try to go in and decipher the wiring in the house.

14 I have faith in the electrician being a licensed

15 electrician that he's doing his part correctly.

16 Q Whether it be as the regional 17 construction manager or as the project manager out

18 there, you did rely on the framer to make sure

19 that the buildings were properly framed up and

20 constructed per plans?

21 A Absolutely.

Q You mentioned like sometimes there might

23 be a broken member or something wrong. Is it

24 standard that that kind of stuff is fixed in the

25 field?

22

1

11

22

1 A Yes.

> 2 Q In your opinion, from your experience out

3 there, how detailed was the Manatee County

inspecting as it related to framing?

5 A I think they were pretty detailed. I

mean, there were different inspectors out there, 6

some of them more detailed than others, but all in

8 all I think they were detailed in their

9 inspections.

10 Q There was testimony earlier about we got

tagged a bunch and we had to deal with those 11

12 issues. Would it be fair to say it was because

13 they were doing pretty detailed inspections?

A Absolutely.

15 Q Did you ever have any issues with

16 Manatee County inspectors with respect to whether

or not they were adequately inspecting the

18 buildings?

14

19 A No.

20 Q Did KB have any kind of process where it

21 walked -- let me give you an example. You know

you're calling out for a framing inspection

23 tomorrow, was there a process where you or a super

24 would walk the building with the framer before

25 Manatee County showed up for the inspection?

Page 194

A It depends on what it is. There are some 2 fixes inside the truss literature or the wall

3 panel literature and it will have standard fixes

4 for common things that happen. In that case you

5 refer to the drawings and what the standard fix

6 is. There are other cases where it's a more

7 serious problem and in that particular case a

8 repair detail is required by the engineer not of

9 record, but the truss engineer or the panel

10 engineer separate from the EOR.

I couldn't tell you what buildings or 12 what, but I'm sure that throughout my tenure at 13 Willowbrook there was probably a broken truss web 14 here or there and a letter was requested from UFP

15 or BFS, hey, THD is broken at this web, what do 16 you want me to do.

17 Q In any event, it's your understanding or 18 expectation that, whether it be the framer himself

19 or KB's inspectors, those kinds of issues would

20 get caught and adequately addressed and

21 remediated?

A Yes.

23 Q If they weren't, were those the types of

24 things that you would hope the Manatee County

25 inspector would identify?

1 A Absolutely. I mean, again, I don't think

2 there was some codified this is the procedure that

3 we need to do, but common sense would dictate in

4 the industry, particularly for a framing

inspection, which is the most important inspection

6 throughout the process, if you're calling in a

7 framing inspection it's probably a good idea that

you walk it first. There were times I walked with

Joe or with Kris. There were times that I walked

10 myself. There were times I walked with Danny.

There were times Danny walked himself. 11

12 If we walked by ourselves we got with

13 whomever the framer was and said, hey, listen, you

need to fix X, Y, and Z, let's go over there and

15 take a look, so I think it was a combination of

16 all of the above.

17 Q Again, I'm not a contractor, I don't have

18 the experience you have. When the framing

19 inspections are happening, is there more focus put

20 on structural concerns, or is it everything? Is

21 it plans, specs, code?

22 A Well, plans, specs, and code are

23 structural concerns. That's solely what they are.

24 Does Manatee County come out and look and see what

25 your stucco finish looks like when it's done, no,



Page 197

they don't worry about aesthetics, they're not
 there to inspect water intrusion issues, there's
 no specified building code that would dictate for
 them to do so.

When they come out, particularly on
framing, it is all structural, is what's built in
the field in accordance with what was submitted
and approved by Manatee County, simple as that.

Q Okay. In this lawsuit, I'll represent to
you that there's issues or there's some
allegations about what has been referred to as
gaps in sheathing, one floor and another floor
match up and the sheathing doesn't come all the
way down or doesn't connect and there will be gaps
in the sheathing. Do you recall that being out
there at Willowbrook when you did any inspections?

17 A Yes.

22

18 Q When you found gaps in sheathing, what 19 would be the typical course of action?

20 A Immediately notify the framer for him to 21 fix it.

Q How would the framer fix it, if you know?

A Well, it depends on what types of gaps in the sheathing you're referring to and how it's

25 applied. I think you could probably get somebody

e 197 |

1 and sheathing and leave them like that, because

2 surely they would leak. That's not what gives you

3 the waterproofing. What gives you the

4 waterproofing is the Tyvek, the wire lath, the

5 stucco, the painting, here in Florida how it's

6 sealed up.

Q So if there are nonstructural gaps in the
8 sheathing and then it's Tyveked, it's properly
9 lathed and stuccoed and painted and sealed, as far
10 as the waterproofing issue goes that gap or that

11 issue with the sheathing is not the issue; is that

12 fair?

13 A That is. I'm of the mindset if there's
14 something wrong and you see it, it should be
15 addressed regardless of whether it's an issue or
16 not. I wouldn't want one of my homeowners to come
17 in a home and see a hole in their sheathing. I
18 wouldn't build a home for my mother -- which is

19 the conversation we had in training. I tell all

20 the guys, pretend you're building this for your21 mother.

22 I wouldn't specifically overlook that

23 thinking it's nothing, but to answer your specific

24 question, yes, you could have a hole the size of

25 this transcript right here and if it was properly

Page 198

who handles forensics in construction to go back
 and reverse engineer and try to find a problem
 with anything and say, well, that wasn't like this
 or was like that and that's why this happened.

5 But being out in the field and looking at 6 it, it depends. Sometimes they're panelized and 7 the two wall panels were just not held tight 8 enough; if that's the case they might take the 9 two-bys that are holding them together and pull

10 everything together. There might be times where

11 maybe something wasn't lapping from the first

12 floor to the second floor; if that's the case they
13 might be able to -- it's a hypothetical -- they

13 might be able to -- it's a hypothetical -- they

14 might be able to add, there might be something

15 they might have to replace. And a gap in the wall

16 panel is something that Manatee County would look

17 at as well, which really is more of a structural18 concern, not a waterproofing concern, because the

19 sheathing has nothing to do with the waterproofing

20 of the home.

21

Q What do you mean by that?

22 A The sheathing doesn't -- a gap in the

sheathing is not going to cause a water intrusion.The sheathing is not what waterproofs the home.

25 That's why we don't build houses out of plywood

Page 200
Tyveked, wire lathed, stuccoed and painted, it

2 wouldn't leak.

Q Have you ever heard of the term in the dindustry nickel gap?

5 A A gap greater than a nickel.

Q Specific to the use of OSB as a sheathingproduct installed under stucco, and specific to I

8 guess ASTM C1063, there's reference to an

9 eighth-inch gap, or nickel gap, as far as when you10 abut sheathing during construction. Are you aware

11 of that issue in ASTM 1063?

12 A In regard to how it affects water

13 intrusion?

16

19

23

24

14 Q Yes, or in any respect. Do you have any 15 understanding of that?

A Refresh my memory.

17 Q Are you familiar with the APA, American

18 Plywood Association?

A Yes.

20 Q Have you ever read any of their

21 recommendations as far as the installation and use

22 of plywood regarding stucco construction?

A Yes.

Q Are you familiar with a recommendation

25 that the APA makes that says when you're



1 installing sheathing in construction that's going

2 to have stucco installed over it, that you should

- 3 not abut sheathing, you should leave a little 4 gap --
- 5 A For expansion.
- 6 Q -- for expansion/contraction of the OSB 7 if it's to get wet whether during construction or otherwise? Are you familiar with that general --
- 9 A Yes.

7

8

- 10 Q Was it part of your practice in
- 11 inspecting the buildings at Willowbrook to observe
- 12 whether or not the exterior sheathing on the
- 13 buildings was installed with a nickel gap?
- 14 A I recall them coming out panelized, in 15 which case the sheathing was already fastened to
- 16 the framing members prior to. That gap is already
- 17 set, that's done in a factory, so I would assume 18 that it's done correctly.

19 As far as joining two panels together, 20 the overall condition of the wall sheathing is 21 something that we looked at, specifically does it

22 have an eighth-of-an-inch gap, very hard to

23 determine.

24 On a building that's three stories, from 25 ground level to look up and tell if you have an Page 203

- 1 assuming that it's done correctly. If the guys
- 2 are in the field conventionally framing, then,
- yes, it's something we pay attention to. 3
- Q Is it something that if the panels came 4 out without any gap, is that something that you 6 readily would be able to notice?
- A It all depends on where you saw them at. 7 8 Not necessarily.
- 9 Q The first floor of these buildings at
- 10 Willowbrook, if I recall from the plans -- I wasn't there during construction -- is block? 11
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And the second and third floor are the 14 panels?
- 15 A Correct.
- Q And it's fair to say that most of the 16 exterior sheathing came out on the panels? 17
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And so I guess I'm just trying to
- 20 understand whether or not it's your expectation
- that either you or your people or your framer
- 22 would be inspecting for the need for this
- 23 eighth-inch gap, or nickel gap, that we're
 - referring to. Do you have an opinion on that one
- 25 way or the other?

22 was corrected.

25

Page 202

- 1 eighth-inch gap in the OSB, you know, I'm relying 2 on the framer, he was up there putting it in. I'm
- 3 assuming that he installed it correctly. You
- 4 know, if you can see something buckling or
- 5 something, then, yes, you would be able to see
- 6 that and you would have them remedy it.
 - Q Let me back you up for a minute.
- First, do you have an opinion as to 9 whether or not in the Willowbrook construction
- 10 under the Florida Building Code, whatever was
- 11 applicable at the time, whether or not a nickel
- 12 gap, or an eighth-inch gap, is required between
- 13 the sheathing? 14 A I don't know that it's required by
- 15 Florida Building Code, no.
- 16 Q And just in your experience as a general 17 contractor, I mean, do you have an opinion on
- 18 that? When you watch construction, do you always
- 19 say, hey, where's the nickel gap, or is that not 20 an issue raised in the past?
- 21 A It depends on what product we're using
- 22 and whether it's conventionally framed or
- 23 panelized. I assume on a panelized product it's 24 coming out from a lumber company who specifically
- 25 designed them and put them together, so I'm

Page 204

- A Well, I think everyone's responsible to a 1 certain degree if they see something that's not
- 3 correct, that they would bring it to somebody's
- attention or do something about it. I think the
- framer would be more likely to see a problem with 5
- that since he's the one who unbundles it and he's
- 7 the one who installs it. I think first and
- foremost the primary responsibility of ensuring
- that nickel gap is through the company who sent
- 10 out a finished wall panel. They're the ones who
- 11 physically sheathed it, so my expectation would be
- 12 that they would ensure it was fastened at the
- 13 correct spacing with the correct fasteners and the
- 14 fasteners had the correct spacings.
- 15 Q You mentioned buckling or bowing. Do you 16 have a recollection as to whether or not during 17 construction framing inspections, what have you,
- 18 there were panels that were buckling or bowing?
- 19 A There might have been -- I can safely say 20 that there were probably a few instances where there was some buckling on wall panels and that 21
- 23 Q And just generally if that happened, how 24 do you correct it or what is done? Do you know?
 - A Well, it depends on where it is. Some

- 1 could be trimmed, some has to be removed and
- 2 resheathed. Was it a buckling issue that happened
- 3 because it wasn't nailed correctly, was it a
- 4 buckling issue that happened because it sat and
- 5 got wet and swelled, really just depends on the
- 6 specific condition.
- 7 Q I'm not going to hold you to this because
- 8 it's probably pretty much a guess by you, but
- 9 based on your experience you might have an idea.
- 10 The panels come out and they're not covered or
- 11 wrapped or anything, are they?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 They are? Q
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And then the framer uncovers them
- 16 and goes and sticks them up on the building and
- 17 constructs the building. And at some point the
- 18 buildings are dried and Tyveked and all that;
- 19 correct?

2

- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q How long would you think an average
- 22 building at Willowbrook, whether a four-plex,
- 23 six-plex -- maybe the six-plexes were longer
- 24 because it's a bigger building -- are out in the
- 25 elements getting rained on and getting wet in the
 - Page 206
- 1 general construction of a building, if you know?
- A Every case is different. I can't recall 3 every instance on every building in Willowbrook,
- 4 but as a general statement the panels get there
- 5 within a day or two of needing to be installed
- 6 they're covered, and they're installed. Typically
- 7 it takes a week to two weeks to erect the building
- 8 and sheath the roof. Then, depending on how the
- 9 framer fared on the inspection, a day or two
- 10 dealing with inspections. At that point the
- 11 building gets dried in, roofed and Tyveked, so,
- 12 you know, two weeks probably on average. 13
 - Q Thank you.
- 14 Do you have any understanding of this 15 current litigation by KB Home against the various
- 16 subcontractors in this case?
- 17 A Vaguely. I mean, when I got served I 18 read the summons and I can kind of deduce what
- 19 this is about, yes.
- 20 Q Did you have an understanding independent 21 of when you left KB that the buildings had
- 22 tremendous water intrusion issues and there was a
- 23 lot of PR issues and problems with the community
- 24 after construction? You generally have that
- 25 understanding?

1

9

- Page 207 A From the time of me leaving until where
- 2 we are now, yes, I'm aware. My name's been in the
- 3 newspaper, so yes, I'm aware.
- 4 Q And do you have an understanding that KB
- 5 entered into a settlement with the condominium
- 6 association and spent a tremendous amount of time
- 7 and money repairing the project?
- 8 A I have no idea what KB did after I left.
 - I'm not privy to all that.
- 10 Q I'm just asking you today, just based on
- 11 being around, did you have an understanding they
- 12 spent a bunch of money fixing the property?
- A I know they spent a bunch of money, they 13
- 14 went back in and tore decks off, put decks back
- 15 on. Other than that, I don't know who's paying
- 16 for what. I have no idea.
- 17 Q I'll represent to you that this lawsuit
- 18 is KB Home's claims against the various
- 19 subcontractors for defective workmanship relating
- 20 to the construction of the project. Did you have
- 21 that general understanding?
- 22 A I did when I saw the summons, yes.
- 23 Q In other words, you saw the list of all
- 24 the parties?
- 25 A Yes. I didn't think KB was the ones who

Page 208

- 1 called me here to be deposed. I assumed it was 2 you guys, yeah.

 - 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Based on your experience working with KB
 - and your testimony regarding KB's responsibility 5
 - as general contractor inspecting, supervising the
 - work, selecting the materials, do you have an 7
 - opinion as to whether or not KB bears some level 8
 - 9 of responsibility for the construction of
 - 10 Willowbrook and the subsequent cost to repair
 - 11 Willowbrook?
 - 12 MR. COGBURN: Form.
 - THE WITNESS: When they give me a gavel 13
 - and a robe, I'll be smart enough to make those 14 15 decisions.
 - 16 BY MR. FORD:
 - 17 Q Just based on your testimony today on the
 - 18 responsibilities that you had for KB Home
 - inspecting the work and KB selecting the products 19
 - 20 and overseeing the construction, do you have an
 - 21 opinion as to whether or not KB, as general
 - contractor, is responsible for the proper
 - 23 construction of the project?
 - 24 MR. COGBURN: Form.
 - 25 THE WITNESS: Are you asking for my



Page 209 Page 211 opinion? were instructed if you if you need something to 1 2 BY MR. FORD: 2 go in the office and get it. 3 Q Yeah. 3 BY MR. FORD: A My opinion would be I think all parties Q One final question on the wall panel 4 4 5 share some responsibility in what needs to be layout documents being in the board with the plans. Do you know whether or not when remediated. 6 6 7 Q And would you agree that KB is one of 7 Manatee County comes to inspect the framing that 8 those parties? they use those in any way, or do they strictly 9 rely on the plans and specifications that have 9 A I would say so. 10 Q I guess just a final set of questions 10 been permitted by Manatee County? 11 with regard to UFP. I want to clarify, as you sit A It depends on the inspectors. For the 11 12 here today, you're not aware of any specific 12 most part they're inspecting what's off of the 13 defects that you were aware of when you were out blueprint, the 13 14 there with UFP's wall panels at the project? signed-and-sealed-submitted-and-approved-by-14 15 A Correct. 15 Manatee-County blueprint. 16 Q You don't recall any specific MR. FORD: That's all I have for you. 16 17 conversations, meetings, e-mails, anything like Thank you, sir. 17 18 that regarding UFP's wall panels? MR. REYNOLDS: I'll go. 18 19 A Not about deficiencies, just normal 19 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 20 business. 20 BY MR. REYNOLDS: 21 Q And, likewise -- I may have already asked 21 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Brown. My name is 22 you this -- you don't have any specific 22 Charles Reynolds, I represent Builders 23 recollection of any conversations with any framers 23 FirstSource. Mr. Ford's been really thorough, so

Page 210

25

Page 212

1 anything like that? 2 A No. 3 Q I think to clarify, you said that 4 whatever the wall panel layout documents are, you 5 would have had a copy of them. Was there a 6 trailer on-site, your trailer, or something that 7 you housed stuff in, or was it more mobile than

24 while you were out there that they didn't know how

25 to up the wall panels, that they were confused,

A No, we had the entire three-car garage of 10 the model as our office and everyone had access to 11 it whenever they wanted.

12 Q That's what I'm trying to clarify. Those 13 kinds of documents, the layout documents for the 14 wall panels, a copy would have been retained at 15 that office?

MR. COGBURN: Form.

8 that?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: There was a copy in the permit board at the time of framing. UFP puts a copy in the panels for the framer. When he opens them up they're right there for him.

And all the trade partners that worked for me in Willowbrook had free access to Danny and I's office without us being there; it was left open. They knew where the plans were, they knew what order they were put in, and they

1 spruce and the yellow pine. I just want to see if 2 I can define that a little bit. As I understand 3 it, that had to do with the wood for a particular 4 column in a portion of each building; is that 5 right? 6 A Yes. 7

24 I may jump around a little bit here. I apologize.

You testified about the issue of the

Q Because when I read part of your 8 statement, it wasn't clear to me. You weren't 9 saying that the wall sheathing or the other panels 10 should have been spruce, but this column area 11 should have been a yellow pine product? 12

A One specific three-ply column separating 13 the windows and the sliding glass door on the 14 second and on the third floor.

15 Q Okay. And I know you've testified to 16 this before, but just for clarity of the record 17 with my questioning, that situation was remediated 18 and fixed by Builders FirstSource; is that 19 correct?

20 A It was fixed. I handled overseeing 21 fixing it. 22

Q Okay.

23 A I mean, at that point there wasn't that 24 much Builders FirstSource did. I know they were 25 backcharged for something. Technically, I guess



they paid for a lot of it.

- Q Somebody fixed it? 2
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And was that during your time as a
- regional supervisor?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. Did you have any direct dealings
- 8 with Builders FirstSource, you yourself?
- A Extensive. 9
- Q Okay. Who did you talk to at Builders 10
- 11 FirstSource?
- 12 A Who didn't I talk to at Builders
- 13 FirstSource? I pretty much talked to the entire
- 14 management team. I remember Jeff Oliver
- 15 specifically. I don't remember everyone's name.
- 16 When I discovered the issue and I raised the flag,
- 17 needless to say there was folks from BFS upper
- 18 management on-site pretty much daily.
- 19 Q Okay. And is that the response you would
- 20 have expected if you raise a red flag to somebody?
- 21 A Absolutely.
- 22 Q Okay. So at some point in time that
- 23 situation got fixed and you moved on to other
- 24 concerns of the project. Fair enough?
- 25 A Yes.

1

- Page 213 project supervisor? 1
 - 2 A Yes.
 - 3 Q And do you recall any issues with the
 - orientation of the framing, or did anybody ever
 - 5 raise that to you?
 - 6 A No.
 - 7 Q And if I heard you right earlier, you
 - said that framing inspection was the most 8
 - important inspection that takes place; correct?
 - 10 A I would say so.
 - Q And so the framer has a chance to walk it 11
 - 12 with you or with somebody prior to inspection;
 - 13 correct?
 - A Yes. 14
 - Q And Manatee County inspects it? 15
 - 16 Α
 - 17 Q And I believe at some point in time there
 - 18 was a third-party inspection that occurred. Was
 - 19 that for the framing, or did I mix that up? Was
 - 20 that for something else?
 - 21 A It was primarily for water intrusion.
 - 22 There was some overlap, you know, in how the
 - 23 framing affected water intrusion. So... 24
 - Q Okay. With regard to Builders
 - 25 FirstSource other than the

Page 214

- Q And so going forward it was not an issue 2 because it had been fixed and so all the new
- 3 construction that occurred after the notice was
- 4 the proper yellow pine product?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Mr. Ford talked a little bit about this,
- 7 but any gaps that may or may not have been present
- 8 in the wall panels, is that something that would
- 9 have been readily visible to you or to a
- 10 supervisor during any inspection, or of you, of
- 11 the work?
- 12 A Well, it would be readily available to
- 13 the framer and the foreman for the framing
- 14 company.
- 15 Q Okay. I just wanted to make sure it
- 16 wasn't a latent defect. You could see it if you
- 17 were there?
- A Correct. 18
- 19 Q What about the orientation of the OSB --20
 - Do you know what I'm talking about?
- 21 A The grain? 22 Q Yes.
- 23 -- being vertically or horizontally
- 24 aligned, is that something that you were able to
- 25 readily see in your job in your capacity as

- Page 216 1 spruce-versus-yellow-pine issue, were there other
- 2 issues that arose with the wall panel that you
- remember having to be addressed by BFS? 3
- A Nothing systematic, nothing out of the 4
- ordinary. The same, as with UFP, maybe a panel
- here wasn't nailed right or something here.
- Nothing systematic. 7
- 8 Q Okay. And you used the term earlier
- field fix with regard to the framers, they see an
- 10 issue and they do a field fix. Can you explain to
- 11 me what that might be? What does that mean?
- 12 A Well, in any truss package or any wall
- 13 panel package from any company I've ever worked
- 14 with, there are general notes for common things
- 15 that will occur where they give you generic
- 16 instructions on what to do in the field to fix
- 17 those. So basically they're saying if this is the
- 18 type of situation that occurs, you don't need to
- 19 do an RFI and get a letter from us, this package
- 20 that we're giving you should suffice the county,
- 21 this is what we want you to do on this generic 22 fix. If it's something that's more involved, it
- 23 would require a specific letter from the engineer
- 24 of the truss manufacturer.
- Q So would most field fixes not have to be 25



Page 217

1 reviewed or signed or sealed by an engineer?

- 2 A As long as the problem and the fix is
- 3 what was annotated in the documentation provided
- 4 by the truss company or the wall panel company,
- 5 then that's a correct statement.
- Q Okay. You mentioned this just briefly. 6
- 7 After the wall panels are delivered, did you say
- 8 they were covered for a period of time or they are
- 9 not covered?
- 10 A No, they typically were covered.
- Q Okay. And after delivery did BFS stay 11
- 12 on-site, or did they deliver them and somebody
- 13 takes delivery of them and then they leave? Is
- 14 that the general operation?
- 15 A The latter, correct.
- 16 Q Was there a process for signing for that
- 17 material? Did you have to acknowledge getting it
- 18 in some way?
- 19 A For the most part, no. When I was
- 20 regional manager, we did have issues regarding
- 21 shortages. At that point, I instructed the guys
- 22 to pay closer attention to the delivery and try to
- 23 identify any shortages or damages so that they
- 24 could notify whomever the supplier was quickly so
- 25 that it would be remedied and taken carry of
 - Page 218
- 1 without a hiccup.
- Q This is where I'm going to jump around a 3 little bit. You talked with prior counsel about
- 4 the meeting that occurred with Mr. O'Neill out at
- 5 the site. Is my recollection correct, it was
- 6 Mr. O'Neill who requested to talk to you?
- 7 A Yes.

2

- 8 Q Okay. And did he give you a reason for
- 9 wanting to talk to you?
- 10 A Not specifically, no.
- Q I had the same question, which is why 11
- 12 would a human resources person have called you up
- 13 about any issue with regard to an on-site problem?
- 14 Did he have some specific concern that he
- 15 addressed to you?
- 16 A He just expressed that he was on a
- 17 factfinding mission to see what was going on and
- 18 what had transpired. Ruben was in human
- 19 resources, but I mean he played other roles, too.
- 20 He was part of the executive team and, you know --
- 21 Q Did he indicate to you that he was having
- 22 trouble finding qualified people to work on the
- 23 project?
- 24 Α No.
- 25 Q You made a comment a little earlier --

- 1 actually a lot earlier -- that some of the
- 2 subcontractors in the area were not capable of
- 3 doing some things. Do you remember that
- 4 testimony?
- 5 A Refresh my memory.
- 6 Q You were mentioning earlier that in this
- 7 area some of the subcontractors couldn't handle
- certain tasks and jobs, and I wanted to know what
- 9 you meant by that.
- 10 A Well, I think in the context that I was
- 11 making the statement, it was in regard to the
- 12 overall design of Willowbrook being constructed,
- 13 second and third floor, out of frame material.
 - Q Okay.

14

- 15 A Most of the subcontractors locally are
- 16 not -- it's more of a regional thing. I'm sure
- 17 the guys in California wouldn't know what to do
- 18 with cinder block, they don't do CMU construction,
- 19 but they're probably more familiar with a
- 20 structure like that than necessarily the labor
- 21 force down here.
- 22 Q Okay. Did you have any concern about the
- 23 ability to do the second- and third-floor wall
- panel construction? 24
- 25 A No.

Page 220

- Q Did KB have a person who was assigned a 1 2 job title of value engineering vice president or
 - 3 value engineering person?
 - 4 A I don't really remember. I didn't get
 - too much involved in what the bean counters did.
 - Q No one came on-site and said, I'm the
 - 7 vice president of value engineering or anything
 - 8 like that?

- 9 A No.
- 10 Q When you talked a little bit about WCPC,
- did they produce a report of any kind after they
- 12 would complete this inspection?
 - A Yes.
- 14 Q And who was that furnished to?
- 15 Α It was furnished to the on-site
- 16 supervisors and someone at the division level.
- Q Okay. And then how was the decision made 17
- 18 as to whether or not to take WCPC's
- 19 recommendations, if any, and implement them?
- 20 A Well, for the most part, I mean if WCPC
- 21 made a recommendation, a lot of times it was
- 22 something we had identified as well and already
- 23 had taken measures to remedy it. In some cases
- 24 maybe it was something we didn't see and in a case
- 25 like that it was handled in a different way.



- 1 Sometimes I would send an e-mail to the trade
- 2 partner and say, hey, take a look at this report,
- 3 we need to fix it. Sometimes I made a phone call.
- 4 It depended on what needed to be fixed and were
- 5 the people on-site or not, what stage they were
- 6 in.
- 7 Q Was there ever a WCPC recommendation that
- 8 was not implemented?
- 9 A I think WCPC made recommendations. I
- 10 don't know if they were all implemented. I know
- 11 all of the recommendations they made regarding
- 12 something that was deficient was taken care of.
- 13 Q In terms of Manatee County, is there a 14 framing inspection and is there a wall sheathing
- 15 inspection? Are those two separate things, or did
- 16 they occur together?
- 17 A Separate inspections.
- 18 Q Do you ever recall there being a failed
- 19 wall sheathing inspection out at Willowbrook as
- 20 opposed to framing?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Do you recall how many times that might
- 23 have happened?
- A I don't recall the exact number of times.
- 25 I know that it did happen on occasion primarily

- Page 223
- A That's not the only reason you could fail
- 2 a wall sheathing inspection, but I would say 95
- percent of failed wall sheathing inspections are 3
- 4 for fasteners.
- 5 Q Do you ever recall a Manatee County
- inspector failing Willowbrook for a wall sheathing 6
- inspection due to a gap? 7
- A Not a wall sheathing inspection, but a 8
- 9 framing inspection.
- 10 Q In other words, they failed a framing
- inspection because of a gap with the wall 11
- 12 sheathing?

14

- 13 A Correct.
 - And do you recall what the problem was? Q
- There was too large of a gap --15 Α
- 16 Q
- 17 Α -- in the wall sheathing.
- Q Do you remember how you addressed that 18
- 19 situation or how that situation was addressed or
- 20 fixed?
- 21 A Yes. A small piece of plywood, which in
- 22 the field they would call a rip, was installed and
- 23 the two-by-fours on the edge of adjacent wall
- panels were brought in closer together and
- 25 everything was renailed.

Page 222

- 1 due to what we discussed earlier regarding the
- 2 nailing pattern on the prefabricated wall panels. 3 Q So was it the same inspector who would do
- 4 both inspections? 5 A There was numerous inspectors that
- 6 inspected. They rotated. They do that purposely.
 - Q Was there a separate wall sheathing
- 8 inspector and a framing inspector, or did the
- 9 inspections occur simultaneously?
- 10 A They don't have to occur simultaneously.
- 11 They usually don't occur simultaneously.
- 12 Q Okay.

7

- A And they are done by whomever is a 13
- 14 licensed structural inspector for Manatee County.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A Typically, the wall sheathing is done at
- 17 the same time as the roof sheathing, which is
- 18 immediately following completion by the framer,
- 19 and that's what allows you to dry-in the roof and 20 the walls.
- 21 Q Okay. And is it your recollection that
- 22 if you failed a wall sheathing inspection, it
- 23 typically had to do with a nailing pattern so in
- 24 the field they could add another nail to fix that
- 25 problem?

- Page 224
- Q Okay. And then it was reinspected and 1 2 passed?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q What was the time or the approximate date
- 5 of your settlement with KB?
 - A I have no idea.
- 7 Q Was it in the last two years?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q You referenced that you had weekly
- 10 meetings with project superintendents and the
- supervisors would have weekly meetings; is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A I was referring to the community team
- 14 meeting.
- Q Community team meeting. 15
- 16 Sales counselors, customer service
- 17 representatives, superintendents for each
- 18 neighborhood met together with a sponsor.
- 19 Q Were there records kept of those
- 20 meetings?

- A There were.
- 22 Q Like minutes of the meetings, or was
- 23 there a form that you would fill out?
- 24 A It was a form.
- 25 Q And who maintained those? Would you turn



COURT REPORTER: Did you want to order? them in someplace? Were they kept someplace? 1 2 A It was turned in and kept at the division 2 MR. KOPACZ: Sure. 3 level. 3 COURT REPORTER: Did you want to read and 4 Q Just from my personal experience, in some 4 sign? 5 of these projects there will be weekly meetings of 5 MR. COGBURN: You don't want to waive --6 various contractors who maybe are working that 6 I'm not your lawyer -- but you can always waive 7 week on something and those are kept as well as 7 later. 8 weekly meetings. Did you ever have those kinds of 8 MR. WOODARD: Madam Court Reporter, this 9 is Michael Woodard. I'll take a copy. 9 meetings among the subs? 10 A Primarily they were safety meetings, 10 MR. FORD: Copy. 11 which there was not minutes kept but more so MR. KOPACZ: You have the right to read 11 12 attendance. 12 what she wrote up or you can trust that she 13 Q Other than the issue with the columns and 13 wrote up everything that was said accurately. 14 That's your right, either way. 14 the spruce versus the yellow pine, do you have any 15 recollection of having to call Builders 15 THE WITNESS: Can I read it later? 16 FirstSource for any issue related to their wall 16 MR. COGBURN: Yes. They'll mail you a 17 panels? 17 copy. COURT REPORTER: What is your address? 18 18 A No. 19 MR. REYNOLDS: I think that's all I have. 19 THE WITNESS: 2276 McTague, 20 20 M-c-T-a-g-u-e, Street, North Port, 34291. Thank you. 21 MR. KRASINSKI: Before we go forward, do 21 (The deposition was adjourned at 4:19 you want to get an understanding of what we're 22 22 p.m.) 23 in for? 23 24 24 (Discussion off the record.) 25 MR. FORD: For the record, we talked 25 Page 228

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Page 225

Page 226 1 about there being nine to ten more folks with 2 questions, probably a few more hours, 3 Mr. Brown, so I think the consensus is to break 4 for today. Is there someone here that can step 5 up to say they will re-notice or subpoena or 6 continue this deposition? 7 MR. KOPACZ: I don't think you need 8 another subpoena. He's still under subpoena. 9 MR. FORD: You'll agree to reappear? It 10 may be in a month. We don't know when it will 11 be. 12 THE WITNESS: I completely understand the 13 subpoena thing. We'll have to work on the 14 scheduling part. MR. FORD: Is there someone that wants to 15 16 take the lead? 17 MR. LUBLINER: I'll do it. MR. KOPACZ: Is there a better day of the 18 19 week that's more convenient for you? 20 THE WITNESS: Is it going to be a 21 marathon like today? MR. LOVE: For the benefit of those on 22 23 the phone, who volunteered to take the lead?

MR. LUBLINER: Jason Lubliner with A&D

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH) I, Laura M. Semik, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the deposition of 8 MATTHEW BROWN; pages 93 through 227; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true record of my said stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. Dated this 1st day of November, 2015. Jama M. Semik Laura M. Semik, RPR

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER



24

25 will do it.

	Page 229			Page 231
1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH	1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	J
2		2		
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)	3	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
4	COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH)	4	Reason for change:	
5		5	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
6				
7	I, Laura M. Semik, Registered Professional	6	Reason for change:	
8	Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida at	7	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
9	Large, certify that MATTHEW BROWN personally	8	Reason for change:	
10	appeared before me on October 22, 2015 and was	9	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
11	duly sworn.	10	Reason for change:	
12	-	11	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
13	Signed this 1st day of November, 2015.	12	Reason for change:	
14		13	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
15	Jaura M. Semit	14	Reason for change:	
16	House III. Jennie	15		
17			Page NoLine NoChange to:	
18	Laura M. Semik, RPR	16	Reason for change:	
1 -0	Notary Public, State of FL	17		
19	Commission No. EE 844129	18	SIGNATURE:DATE:	
1 2		19	MATTHEW BROWN	
	Expires: 02/06/2017	20		
20		21		
21		22		
22		23		
23		24		
24				
25		25		
	Page 230			Page 232
1	Page 230 DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	Page 232
1 2		1 2	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	Page 232
			DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page NoLine NoChange to:	_
2	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	2		
2 3	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826	2	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826	2 3 4	Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al.	2 3 4 5	Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change:	
2 3 4 5 6 7	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to: Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change: Page NoLine NoChange to: Reason for change:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Our Assignment No. J0175826 Case Caption: KB Home vs. A&D, et al. DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page NoLine NoChange to:	

